Tab.1: Pop	pulation and	sample	distribution	(%) by	sector and size
------------	--------------	--------	--------------	--------	-----------------

Population distribution (%)		Siz	æ			
Sector	20-49	50-99	100- 249	250+	Total	Total (a.v.)
FOOD	5,65	1,94	1,16	0,64	9,39	382
TEXTILE	6,17	1,47	0,71	0,37	8,73	355
WOOD, PAPER AND OTHER INDUSTRIES	7,79	1,67	0,79	0,42	10,67	434
CHEMICAL AND RUBBER	5,01	1,87	1,11	0,42	8,41	342
NON METALLIC MINERAL PRODUCTS	3,81	1,23	1,18	0,79	7,01	285
METALLURGY	16,99	3,29	1,18	0,25	21,71	883
MACHINERY	21,44	6,37	4,06	2,24	34,10	1387
Total	66,86	17,85	10,18	5,11	100,00	
Total (a.v.)	2720	726	414	208		4068
Sample distribution (%)		Siz	æ			
Sector	20-49	50-99	100- 249	250+	Total	Total (a.v.)
FOOD	2,88	3,78	1,62	0,54	8,83	49
TEXTILE	2,70	1,44	1,62	0,54	6,31	35
WOOD, PAPER AND OTHER INDUSTRIES	3,60	2,88	1,08	0,90	8,47	47
CHEMICAL AND RUBBER	3,78	3,42	1,80	1,08	10,09	56
NON METALLIC MINERAL PRODUCTS	1,62	2,16	1,62	2,16	7,57	42
METALLURGY	8,83	5,77	2,16	0,18	16,94	94
MACHINERY	14,05	15,32	7,39	5,05	41,80	232
Total	37,48	34,77	17,30	10,45	100,00	
Total (a.v.)	208	193	96	58		555
Cochran Test Margin of error $oldsymbol{ heta}$ *						Interviewed firms vs. Population
$\theta = \sqrt{\frac{N}{(N-1)n} - \frac{1}{N-1}}$ Margin of error θ "usually" tolerated: 0.05. F						0.039

Margin of error θ "usually" tolerated: 0.05. Restrictive test for small population: the smaller is N, the lesser the distance between N and *n* has to be in order to generate an acceptable θ .

Variable*	2006 (%)	2007 (%)	2008 (%)
VA/EMP	436 (78.5)	436 (78.5)	399 (71.9)
PROFIT/EMP	433 (78.0)	436 (78.5)	390 (70.3)
CASHFLOW/EMP	436 (78.5)	436 (78.5)	402 (72.4)

* See next section for a full description of the data

Tab.3: Construction and descriptive statistics of firm specific characteristics

	Construction	Mean	Min	Max
FIRM SPECIFIC CHARACTERISITCS (FIRM_SPEC)				
PAVITT SECTORS (d)	Dummies (5) identifying the sectors the firm belong to on the base of the OECD- Pavitt taxonomy	/	0	1
GEO (d)	Dummies of geographical location of the firm: NUTS 3 territorial units (9 provinces + extra regional) were grouped in 5 clusters.	/	0	1
SIZE (d)	Size dummies by employees: 20-49; 50- 99; 100-249; > 249.	/	0	1

EXPORT	Percentage of turnover made on international markets	0.33	0	1
GROUP_INTERNAT (d)	Dummy: 1 firm is part of an international group; 0 otherwise	0.07	0	1
GROUP_NAT (d)	Dummy: 1 firm is part of a national group; 0 otherwise	0.23	0	1
SUPPLIER	Percentage of turnover made as supplier	0.28	0	1
SKILL_SHARE	Share of non-manual workers	0.85	0	5.1
PROACTIVE	Dummy variable: 1 if the firm is active in terms of strategic innovation behaviour (strongly active on the innovation activities before the crisis and willing to see policies supporting training, innovation and human capital accumulation or policies directly addressed to sustain the internal aggregate demand); 0 otherwise	0.40	0	1
DEFENSIVE	Dummy variable: 1 if the firm is defensive in terms of strategic behaviour (strategic difficulty in front of competitors, especially from BRIC countries and/or structural 'distress' for high labour, production and financial costs coupled with a willingness to see policies aimed to cut labour costs through a reduction of taxation); 0 otherwise	0.14	0	1
MIX	Dummy variable: 1 if the firm shows a mixed behaviour in terms of strategic innovation behaviour (share both PROACTIVE and DEFENSIVE characteristics); 0 otherwise	0.13	0	1
OTHER	Dummy variable: 1 if the firm shows neither PROACTIVE nor DEFENSIVE behaviours; 0 otherwise	0.33	0	1
WORK_COND_P	Trend in working conditions focused on positive aspects (workers effort; employees competencies; available information on the production process for the employees; employees autonomy and control on their tasks; economic and non economic incentives) measured on a 5 points scale going from -2 to +2 rescaled on the interval (0,1)	0.64	0	1
WORK_COND_N	Trend in working conditions focused on negative aspects (workload for single employees; job instability; rigidity of the working hours; diseases related to the job; work-related injuries) measured on a 5 points scale going from -2 to +2 rescaled on the interval (0,1)	0.56	0	1
INNO_SUB (d)	Dummy: 1 firm has been publicly funded to support an innovative program 2003- 2006; 0 otherwise	0.23	0	1

Tab.4: Construction and descriptive statistics of innovation variable (period 2006-2008)

	Construction	Mean	Min	Max
INNO (2006-2008)				
Technological Innovation				
INNO_TECH	Composite index of innovation intensity in the technological sphere. Values on	0.22	0	0.60

	the interval $(0,1)$. Constructed on the			
	basis of the following specific indexes:			
	Index including innovation aspects	0.10	0	0.00
OUTPUT_TECH	belonging to the dimension of	0.12	0	0.82
	technological output			
	Index including innovation aspects	0.00	0	0.65
INPUT_TECH	belonging to the dimension of	0.32	0	0.65
	technological input			
Organisational Innovation				
	Composite index of innovation intensity			
INNO_ORG	in the organisational sphere. Values on the interval $(0, 1)$. Constructed on the	0.26	0	0.75
	the interval $(0,1)$. Constructed on the			
OUTSOURCING	basis of the following specific indexes:	0.11	0	0.8
OUISOURCING	Index of outsourcing activities Index of collaboration activities to carry	0.11	0	0.8
ORG_COLL	out organisational innovations	0.20	0	1
	Index as the average number of			
PROD_PRACTICES	production organisation practices	0.48	0	1
	Index as the average number of labour			
LAB_PRACTICES	organisation practices	0.25	0	1
Training	organisation practices			
11 anning	Composite index of intensity in training			
	policies. Values on the interval (0,1).			
TRAIN	Constructed on the basis of the following	0.50	0	1
	specific indexes:			
TRAIN_TYPE	Index of training typologies	0.42	0	1
TRAIN(_1111)	Percentage of permanent workers	0.12	0	1
COV_PERM	involved in training programs. Interval	0.38	0	1
	(0,1)	0.20	0	-
	Percentage of fixed-term workers			
COV_NONPERM	involved in training programs. Interval	0.21	0	1
	(0,1)	•	-	-
	Index of training competencies covered			
	by training programs (computing comp.;	0.44	0	
TRAIN_COMP	technical comp.; organisational/relational	0.44	0	1
	comp.; economic/legal comp.)			
Environmental Innovations				
	Composite index of innovation intensity			
	in the environmental sphere. Values on	0.12	0	0.00
INNO_ENV	the interval $(0,1)$. Constructed on the	0.13	0	0.89
	basis of the following specific indexes:			
	Index of benefits due to environmental			
	innovations (emission reduction,	0.12	0	1
ENV_BEN	energy/material efficiency, CO2	0.13	0	1
	reduction)			
ENV DROC	Index of environmental innovation	0.06	0	1
ENV_PROC	procedures (EMAS, ISO14001)	0.06	0	1
ICT				
	Composite index of innovation intensity			
	in information and communication			
ICT	technologies sphere. Values on the	0.59	0	1
	interval $(0,1)$. Constructed on the basis of			
	the following specific indexes:			
INSTR_ICT	Index of ICT instruments implemented	0.83	0	1
SYS_ICT	Index of ICT management systems	0.29	0	1
	implemented		U	1
ACT_ICT	Index of activities supported by ICT	0.69	0	1
ROLE_ICT	Index of types of role covered by ICT	0.55	0	1
Internationalisation				
INTERNAT	Composite index of internationalization	0.08	0	0.59
	activities. Values on the interval $(0,1)$.	0.00	U	0.59

	Constructed on the basis of the following specific indexes:				
	Dummy variable: 1 if foreign direct	0.16	0	1	
IDE (d)	investments are done; 0 otherwise	0.16	0	1	
IDE_TYPE	Index of IDE typology	0.04	0	0.80	
	Dummy variable: 1 if the firm import				
IMPORT	intermediate goods from abroad; 0	0.40	0	1	
	otherwise				
IMPORT_TYPE	Typology of firms providing	0.12	0	1	
	intermediate goods	0.12	Ū	-	
INT_PART	Index capturing different typologies of	0.02	0	0.83	
	international participation				
INDREL (2006-2008)					
	Composite index capturing the degree of				
UNION_INV	union involvements constructed as the	0.28	0	1	
—	average of the following three specific				
	indexes of involvement:				
IMION NE*	Index: as average of union information	0.55	0	1	
UNION_INF*	about changes in the 6 innovation	0.55	0	1	
	spheres				
UNION CONS*	Index as average of union consultation	0.19	0	1	
UNION_CONS*	about changes in the 6 innovation	0.19	U	1	
	spheres Index as average of union bargaining				
UNION_BARG*	about changes in the 6 innovation	0.07	0	1	
UNION_BARO	spheres	0.07	0	1	
	Composite index capturing the degree of				
	employees involvements constructed as				
EMP_INV	the average of the following two specific	0.50	0	1	
	indexes of involvement:				
	Index as average of employees				
EMP_INF	information about changes in the 6	0.66	0	1	
	innovation spheres	0.00	0	1	
	Index as average of employees				
EMP_CONS	consultation about changes in the 6	0.17	0	1	
	innovation spheres	0.17	0	1	
EMPINV_ORG		1.06	0	2	
EMPINV_TRAIN	Set of variables indicating the degree of	1.06	0	2	
EMPINV_TECH	employees involvement on each of the	1.07	0	2	
EMPINV_ICT	six innovations spheres: 0 no	1,01	0	2	
EMPINV ENV	involvement; 1 informed; 2 consulted	0.92	0	2	
EMPINV_INTERNAT		0.86	0	2	
UNIONINV_ORG*		1.28	0	3	
UNIONINV _TRAIN*	- Set of variables indicating the degree of	1.25	0	3	
UNIONINV _TECH*	- union representatives involvement on	1.17	0	3	
UNIONINV _ICT*	- each of the six innovations spheres: 0 no	1.11	0	3	
UNIONINV ENV*	- involvement; 1 informed; 2 consulted; 3 -	1.14	0	3	
UNIONINV_INTERNAT*	- bargained with	1.05	0	3	
PERF (2006-2008)			~	2	
GRVA/EMP	Ln of value added per capita. Rate of growth over 2006-2008	0.05	-2.71	2.03	
GRPROFIT/EMP	Ln of profit per capita. Rate of growth	-0.04	-2.51	3.25	
OKF KOFTI/EMF	OVer 2006 2009				
GRCASHFLOW/EMP	over 2006-2008 Ln of cash-flow per capita. Rate of	0.11	-3.93	4.45	

* These variables are only computed when union representative are present: 402 firms

Tab.5: Pairwise correlations among the main covariates	Tab.5:	Pairwise	correlations	among t	the main	covariates
--	--------	----------	--------------	---------	----------	------------

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
(1) INNO_ORG	1													
(2) TRAIN	0.30	1												
(3) INNO_TECH	0.44	0.37	1											
(4) INNO_ENV	0.19	0.20	0.26	1										
(5) ICT	0.43	0.32	0.44	0.19	1									
(6) INTERNAT	0.37	0.20	0.35	0.10	0.28	1								
(7) UNION_INF	0.03	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.16	1							
(8) UNION_CONS	0.14	0.09	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.03	-0.33	1						
(9) UNION_BARG	0.16	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.06	-0.19	-0.09	1					
(10) EMP_INF	0.06	0.14	0.09	0.06	0.19	0.06	0.35	-0.01	-0.03	1				
(11) EMP_CONS	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.04	-0.01	0.03	-0.25	0.16	0.11	-0.67	1			
(12) GRVA/EMP	-0.05	-0.04	-0.03	-0.07	-0.11	0.00	-0.14	0.05	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	1		
(13) GRCASHFLOW/EMP	-0.05	-0.07	-0.05	-0.06	-0.09	-0.02	-0.09	-0.08	-0.02	0.03	-0.05	0.52	1	
(14) GRPROFIT/EMP	0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.13	0.00	-0.03	-0.08	-0.03	0.00	0.03	-0.04	0.59	0.79	1

Tab.6: Innovative actions to react to the crisis

Innovations	Construction	Mean	Min	Max
Degree of the intervention				
intensity (Null=0; Very				
feeble=1; Feeble=2;				
Strong=3; Very strong=4)				
ACTION_TOT	Constructed as the average of the	0.63	0	1
	following three indexes:	0.05	ů.	1
	Index as sample average of the answers			
	on five dimensions of process innovation:			
ACTION_PROC	designing of product and service;			
(Underlying strategic	efficiency/productivity/costs; flexibility in	0.66	0	1
behaviour: efficiency gains)	product variety; productive capacity;			
	quality of productive process. Values			
	normalised on the interval (0-1)			
	Index as sample average of the answers			
ACTION _PROD	on five dimensions of product innovation:			
(Underlying strategic	new products and services; quality of			
behaviour: high	product and service; access to new	0.62	0	1
competitiveness and future	markets; marketing activities; logistics			
rent exploitation)	and distribution activities. Values			
	normalised on the interval (0-1)			
	Index as sample average of the answers			
	on five dimensions of competitive factors:			
ACTION _ORG_HRM	increased employees competencies;			
(Underlying strategic	increased employees responsibility and			
behaviour: skill base	satisfaction; increased security and	0.62	0	1
construction and efficiency	decreased injuries; environmental impact			
gains)	reduction; adjustment to laws and quality			
	standards. Values normalised on the			
	interval (0-1)			

Tab.7: Results to test HP1 and HP2

	2a			2b				2c				
				ACTION_	ACTION	ACTION_		ACTION_	ACTION		ACTION_	
	_TOT	PROC	PROD	ORG_HRM	_TOT	PROC	PROD	ORG_HRM	_TOT	PROC	PROD	ORG_HRM
			HP1				HP2a				HP2b	
FIRM_												
SPEC^	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PROD_												
PRACTICES	0.043**	0.054**	0.013	0.062**								
	(0.020)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.026)								
LAB_	0.1004444		0.001	0.4004-04								
PRACTICES	0.109***	0.057	0.081	0.190***								
CON DEDM	(0.037)	(0.049)	(0.055)	(0.046)								
COV_PERM	0.035*	0.031	0.009									
	(0.020)	(0.026)	(0.028)	(0.023)								
TRAIN_ COMP	-0.056**	-0.080**	-0.047	-0.039								
COMP	(0.036^{***})	(0.035)	-0.047 (0.036)	(0.030)								
INPUT_	(0.027)	(0.055)	(0.030)	(0.030)								
TECH	0.194***	0.177***	0.315***	0.088								
TECH	(0.048)	(0.062)	(0.068)	(0.058)								
ACT_ICT	0.042	0.072**	0.046	0.011								
ner_ier	(0.042)	(0.036)	(0.039)	(0.035)								
INNO_ORG§	(0.020)	(0.050)	(0.037)	(0.055)	0.166***	0.124*	0.107	0.263***	0.180***	0.133*	0.116	0.286***
nino_ono,					(0.049)	(0.065)	(0.068)	(0.066)	(0.052)	(0.068)	(0.072)	(0.067)
TRAINING§					0.025	-0.001	-0.011	0.089***	0.032	0.009	-0.003	0.090***
					(0.026)	(0.033)	(0.035)	(0.031)	(0.026)	(0.033)	(0.035)	(0.031)
INNO_TECH§					0.215***	0.211***	0.388***	0.041	0.216***	0.205**	0.407***	0.029
					(0.064)	(0.082)	(0.092)	(0.081)	(0.067)	(0.085)	(0.095)	(0.084)
INNOENV§					0.006	0.002	-0.039	0.054*	0.018	-0.014	-0.062	0.024
-					(0.024)	(0.027)	(0.035)	(0.031)	(0.03)	(0.032)	(0.047)	(0.04)
ICT§					0.091**	0.083	0.154***	0.039	0.095**	0.097*	0.146**	0.044
					(0.044)	(0.058)	(0.058)	(0.054)	(0.042)	(0.056)	(0.057)	(0.051)
TRAINxENV§									0.212**	0.170*	0.192	0.253*
									(0.090)	(0.099)	(0.161)	(0.133)
TECHxENV§									-0.191	-0.144	-0.534*	0.122
									(0.184)	(0.235)	(0.282)	(0.284)
ORGxTECH§									-0.807*	-0.903	-0.579	-0.944
UNUATECHY												
									(0.478)	(0.628)	(0.675)	(0.587)
TECHxICT§					1				0.335	-0.027	-0.267	1.241**

					1				(0.393)	(0.521)	(0.569)	(0.487)
Constant	0.300***	0.289***	0.298***	0.313***	0.336***	0.333***	0.332***	0.343***	0.497***	0.460***	0.529***	0.500***
	(0.072)	(0.091)	(0.095)	(0.084)	(0.067)	(0.088)	(0.088)	(0.077)	(0.063)	(0.083)	(0.084)	(0.072)
Observations	555	555	555	555	555	555	555	555	555	555	555	555
Adj. R2	0.213	0.118	0.189	0.139	0.204	0.114	0.195	0.120	0.200	0.107	0.190	0.120
F	5.474	3.261	4.840	3.362	7.274	4.370	6.957	3.675	6.037	3.525	5.244	3.561

Notes: * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01; Robust to heteroskedasticity standard errors in parentheses; for space constraint only significant variables are reported; empty cells mean the variables are not included in the specification; the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) does not show any relevant multicollinearity problem.

^ All firm specific characteristics are included and their main results are discussed at the beginning of Section 4, but we do not report them for space constraint. § In specifications 2c the variables are centred around their mean in order to reduce problems of multicollinearity in the specifications.

Tab.8: Results to test HP3

Tab.8: Results to test HP3	3a				3b1				3b2			
			Ja	ACTION		5	01	ACTION		51	02	ACTION
	ACTION	ACTION	ACTION	ORG	ACTION	ACTION	ACTION	_ORG_	ACTION	ACTION	ACTION_	
	_TOT	_PROC	PROD	HRM	TOT	PROC	PROD	HRM	TOT	PROC	PROD	HRM
		_	P3a			HP3b			HP3b§			
FIRM SPEC ^	Yes											
COMPOSITE_INNO_												
INDEXES^	Yes											
UNION_INV	0.026	0.048	0.019	0.009								
	(0.024)	(0.034)	(0.037)	(0.030)								
EMP_INV	0.006	-0.057	0.033	0.041								
	(0.031)	(0.042)	(0.043)	(0.041)								
UNION_BARG					0.059**	0.058*	0.066*	0.054*				
					(0.025)	(0.035)	(0.038)	(0.031)				
EMP_INF					0.046	0.027	0.078**	0.030				
					(0.028)	(0.035)	(0.038)	(0.033)				
EMPINV_ENV									0.049**	0.052**	0.052	0.047*
									(0.023)	(0.026)	(0.032)	(0.026)
EMPINV_INTERNAT									-0.016	-0.051**	0.024	-0.026
									(0.022)	(0.025)	(0.027)	(0.030)
UNIONINV_ENV									-0.018	-0.053**	0.027	-0.029
									(0.021)	(0.026)	(0.029)	(0.030)
UNIONINV_INTERNAT									-0.019	0.024	-0.048*	-0.030
									(0.025)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.038)
Constant	0.366***	0.373***	0.399***	0.325***	0.346***	0.358***	0.371***	0.308***	0.373***	0.395***	0.394***	0.329***
	(0.076)	(0.090)	(0.101)	(0.088)	(0.075)	(0.091)	(0.100)	(0.088)	(0.077)	(0.094)	(0.103)	(0.089)
Observations	402	402	402	402	402	402	402	402	402	402	402	402
Adj. R2	0.206	0.125	0.191	0.105	0.227	0.131	0.211	0.113	0.204	0.118	0.201	0.101
F	4.727	3.263	4.738	2.500	4.813	3.094	4.598	2.604	3.800	2.934	4.112	2.286

Notes: p < 0.10, p < 0.05, p < 0.05, p < 0.01; Robust to heteroskedasticity standard errors in parentheses; for space constraint only significant variables are reported; empty cells mean the variables are not included in the specification; the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) does not show any relevant multicollinearity problem, but in specifications 3c.

^ All firm specific characteristics are included and their main results are discussed at the beginning of Section 4, but we do not report them for space constraint; the composite innovation indexes included in order not to omit relevant variables show the same results as reported in tab.7.

\$This set of specification slightly suffers from multicollinearity problems, however there is not a clear cut solution to multicollinearity (Kennedy, 2001). Dropping specific variables of interest could not be a good choice if we are interested on their results, so we decided to keep all the variables, with the caveat that the results may be affected by weak multicollinearity.

1 <i>u</i> 0. 9. Results to test 111 4				
	ACTION_	ACTION_	ACTION_	ACTION_ORG_
	TOT	PROC	PROD	HRM
			HP4	
FIRM_SPECIFIC_CHARACTERISTICS^	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
COMPOSITE_INNO_INDEXES^	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
INDREL_SPECIFIC_INDEXES^	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
"GR_VAEMP_0608"	-0.010	0.014	-0.028	-0.015
	(0.022)	(0.030)	(0.031)	(0.029)
"GR_CASHFLOWEMP_0608"	0.009	0.016	-0.011	0.020
	(0.024)	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.026)
GR_PROFITEMP	-0.006	-0.022	0.016	-0.012
	(0.019)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.021)
Constant	0.304***	0.285***	0.317***	0.311***
	(0.070)	(0.092)	(0.093)	(0.082)
Observations	555	555	555	555
Adjusted R-squared§§	0.222	0.126	0.213	0.126
F	5.63	3.29	5.09	3.03

Tab.9: Results to test HP4

Notes: p < 0.10, p < 0.05, p < 0.01; Robust to heteroskedasticity standard errors in parentheses; for space constraint only significant variables are reported; empty cells mean the variables are not included in the specification; the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) does not show any relevant multicollinearity problem.

^ All firm specific characteristics are included and their main results are discussed at the beginning of Section 4; the composite innovation indexes and the industrial relation specific indexes included in order not to omit relevant variables show the same results as reported in tab.7 and tab.8 but we do not report them for space constraint.

§ All the 'performance' variables are reported although they are not significant. The results are based on the Multiple Imputation strategy (Sata11 Manual, 2009) which has been used in order to overcome the problem given by missing values;

§§The adjusted R-squared has been obtained using the *mibeta* command (Stata Manual, 2009)

	Dependent variables								
	ACTION_TOT	ACTION_PROC	ACTION_PROD	ACTION_ORG_HRM					
INNOVATION									
Hp1	++	++	++	++					
Hp2a	++	++	++	++					
Hp2b	+/-	+	-	+					
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS									
Нр3а	+	+	+	+					
Hp3b	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-					
ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE									
Hp4	/	/	/	/					

Tab.10: Summing up the empirical evidence

Note: ++ (--) means a strong support to (rejection of) Hp; + (-) means a weak support to (rejection of) Hp; +/- means mixed evidence; / means no evidence.