

(CO)MONADS AND DESCENT

CLAUDIA MENINI

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Monads	2
3. Comonads	7
4. Johnstone for Monads	9
5. Distributive laws and lifting of monads	13
6. Descent data and quasi-symmetries associated to a monad	16
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

The following is inspired to the Introductions of [MS] and [KLV] respectively.

Let R be an arbitrary ring and let us denote the category of right modules over R by $Mod-R$. If S is an extension of R , i.e. there is an arbitrary morphism of rings with unit $R \rightarrow S$, then the categories $Mod-R$ and $Mod-S$ are connected by a pair of adjoint functors (f^*, f_*) where $f^* : Mod-R \rightarrow Mod-S$, $f^*(N) = N \otimes_R S$ is the so called extension of scalars functor and $f_* : Mod-S \rightarrow Mod-R$, $f_*(M) = M$ regarded as an R -module via f , is the restriction of scalars functor. Roughly speaking, classical descent theory of modules and morphisms is concerned with the description of the image of f^* . To be more specific we list below three problems of classical descent theory.

- (1) **(Descent of modules)** *Let M be a right S -module. Is there any right R -module N such that $M \simeq N \otimes_R S$ as right S -modules?*
- (2) **(Descent of morphisms)** *Let N and N' be right R -modules and let $f : N \otimes_R S \rightarrow N' \otimes_R S$ be a morphism of right S -modules. Does there exist a morphism of right R -modules $g : N \rightarrow N'$ such that $f = g \otimes id_S$?*
- (3) **(Classifications of S -forms)** *Given a right R -module N classify all right R -modules N' such that $N' \otimes_R S \simeq N \otimes_R S$.*

A well-known example, due to Grothendieck, is faithfully flat descent theory ($R \rightarrow S$ is now a faithfully flat extension of commutative rings), see [Gro] and [KO]. The existence of an $N \in Mod-R$ as in the first problem is equivalent to the existence of a “descent datum” on M . Let us briefly recall the definition of descent datum in this setting. First let us note that we have an algebra morphism $i_S : S \rightarrow S \otimes_R S$, $i_S(x) = x \otimes 1$. Hence, for any $M \in Mod-S$, the S -modules $S \otimes_R M$ and $M \otimes_R S$ are modules over $S \otimes_R S$ via extension of scalars from S to $S \otimes_R S$. Let $g : S \otimes_R M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ be an arbitrary $S \otimes_R S$ -linear map. We define $g_1 := S \otimes_R g$ and $g_3 := g \otimes_R S$ and let g_2 be the map from $S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M$ to $M \otimes_R S \otimes_R S$ given by

$$g_2(s \otimes t \otimes m) = \sum m_j \otimes t \otimes s_j,$$

where $g(s \otimes m) = \sum m_j \otimes s_j$. Then a descent datum on M is an $S \otimes_R S$ -linear map $g : S \otimes_R M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ such that $g_2 = g_3 g_1$ and $\sum m_j s_j = m$ if $g(1 \otimes m) = \sum m_j \otimes s_j$. (See Theorem 6.17 and the considerations just above it).

One can easily describe descent data in another equivalent way. Let $\sigma_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ be the map $m \mapsto m \otimes_R 1$. Then any $S \otimes_R S$ -linear map $g : S \otimes_R M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ is uniquely determined by the map $g\sigma_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$. Let us denote $g\sigma_M$ by ρ_g . Then g is a descent datum if and only if ρ_g is a morphism of right S -modules and satisfies the following properties (see Theorem 6.17)

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_g \otimes_R S)\rho_g &= (\sigma_M \otimes_R S)\rho_g, \\ \mu_M \rho_g &= \text{Id}_M, \end{aligned}$$

This means that $(M, \rho_g) \in {}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$ where \mathbb{C} is the canonical comonad of the adjunction (f^*, f_*) .

In the paper [MS], extending results by Nuss [Nu] on noncommutative rings, the situation (f^*, f_*) was replaced $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ where \mathbb{A} is a monad over a category \mathcal{A} and ${}_{\mathbb{A}}F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ is the free functor while ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the forgetful functor. Let $\mathbb{A}^* = ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U, \lambda)$ be the comonad on the category ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ associated to this adjunction. In this context, it was proved that, if the monad \mathbb{A} is equipped with a ‘‘compatible flip’’ $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$, then to give an \mathbb{A}^* -comodule structure on an \mathbb{A} -module (X, μ) is equivalent to giving a ‘‘symmetry’’ on X , that is an involution $AX \rightarrow AX$ satisfying some suitable conditions.

Unfortunately, the following natural example, which is a direct generalization of the classical case of commutative rings, does not fit into their general context: let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category and let (S, m_S, u_S) be an algebra in \mathcal{C} , then the braiding

$$c_{S,S} : S \otimes S \rightarrow S \otimes S$$

induces a natural isomorphism $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ on the monad

$\mathbb{A} = (- \otimes_R S, - \otimes_R m_S, (- \otimes_R u_S) \circ r_-)$, but this natural isomorphism is not a flip unless the braiding is a symmetry and the monoid is commutative. To encompass this example, in [KLV] the notion of BD-law on a monad \mathbb{A} is introduced (see Definitions 6.1) and, given a BD-law Φ on the monad \mathbb{A} , the notion of ‘‘compatible flip’’ is substituted by Φ -braiding on an \mathbb{A} -module. In these notes we prefer to call this quasi Φ -symmetry (see Definitions 6.2) since we could not find meaningful relation with the usual meaning of a braiding (on the other hand a BD-law on a monad \mathbb{A} could be called a braiding on the monad \mathbb{A}). We give a self-contained proof of [KLV, Theorem 3.7] (see Theorem 6.12) which shows that the category of quasi Φ -symmetries is isomorphic to the category of \mathbb{A}^* -comodules.

These are the notes of a short Ph.D. course I gave at the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science of the University of Ferrara during January and February 2016.

I would like to thank Leonardo Spinosa for a careful reading of them.

2. MONADS

DEFINITION 2.1. A *monad* on a category \mathcal{A} is a triple $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$, where $A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a functor, $m_A : AA \rightarrow A$ and $u_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ are functorial morphisms satisfying the associativity and the unitality conditions:

$$(1) \quad m_A \circ (m_A A) = m_A \circ (A m_A) \quad \text{and} \quad m_A \circ (A u_A) = A = m_A \circ (u_A A).$$

DEFINITION 2.2. A *morphism between two monads* $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ and $\mathbb{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ on a category \mathcal{A} is a functorial morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\varphi \circ m_A = m_B \circ (\varphi \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \circ u_A = u_B.$$

Here $\varphi \varphi = \varphi B \circ A \varphi = B \varphi \circ \varphi A$.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of rings. Let ${}_R S_R$ denote the R -bimodule structure on S defined by

$$r \cdot s = f(r) s \quad s \cdot r = s f(r) \quad \text{for every } r \in R \text{ and } s \in S.$$

Since

$$(s \cdot r) s' = (s f(r)) s' = s (f(r) s') = s (r \cdot s)$$

the multiplication $m : S \times S \rightarrow S$ on S factorizes through $S \otimes_R S$ i.e. there is a group morphism

$$m_S : S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$$

such that $m_S = \tau \circ m$ where $\tau : S \times S \rightarrow S \otimes_R S$ is the canonical map. m_S is a morphism of S - S -bimodules. Clearly we get that

$$(2) \quad m_S \circ (S \otimes_R m_S) = m_S \circ (m_S \otimes_R S)$$

For any right R -module M let

$$r_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R$$

denote the usual isomorphism defined by $r_M(x) = x \otimes_R 1_R$. It is easy to check that this defines a functorial isomorphism

$$r_- : Mod-R \rightarrow - \otimes_R R.$$

Set

$$u_S = - \otimes_R f : - \otimes_R R \rightarrow - \otimes_R S$$

and

$$u_A = (- \otimes_R u_S) \circ r_- : Mod-R \rightarrow - \otimes_R R \rightarrow - \otimes_R S$$

For every right R -module M

$$u_A M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$$

is defined by

$$(u_A M)(x) = x \otimes_R 1_S \quad \text{for every } x \in M.$$

For every $x \in M$ and $s \in S$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [(M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A M \otimes_R S)](x \otimes_R s) &= (M \otimes_R m_S)(x \otimes_R 1_S \otimes_R s) \\ &= (x \otimes_R s) = (M \otimes_R S)(x \otimes_R s) \end{aligned}$$

so that we get

$$(3) \quad (M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A M \otimes_R S) = M \otimes_R S.$$

A similar computation gives

$$(4) \quad (M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A (M \otimes_R S)) = M \otimes_R S$$

Let us consider the triple $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= - \otimes_R S : Mod-R \rightarrow Mod-R \\ m_A &= - \otimes_R m_S : - \otimes_R S \otimes_R S \rightarrow - \otimes_R S \\ u_A &= (- \otimes_R u_S) \circ r_- : Mod-R \rightarrow - \otimes_R S \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ is a monad on the category $Mod-R$. For every $M \in Mod-R$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [m_A \circ (m_A A)](M) &= (M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (M \otimes_R S \otimes_R m_S) = \\ &= M \otimes_R [m_S \circ (S \otimes_R m_S)] \stackrel{(2)}{=} M \otimes_R [m_S \circ (m_S \otimes_R S)] \\ &= (M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (M \otimes_R m_S \otimes_R S) = [m_A \circ (A m_A)](M) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [m_A \circ (A u_A)] M &= [(- \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A \otimes_R S)] M \\ &= (M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A M \otimes_R S) \stackrel{(3)}{=} M \otimes_R S = AM \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [m_A \circ (u_A A)] M &= [(- \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A (- \otimes_R S))] M \\ &= (M \otimes_R m_S) \circ (u_A (M \otimes_R S)) \stackrel{(4)}{=} M \otimes_R S = AM. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.4 ([H]). *Let (L, R) be an adjunction with unit η and counit ϵ where $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathbb{A} = (RL, R\epsilon L, \eta)$ is a monad on the category \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. We have to prove that

$$(R\epsilon L) \circ (RLR\epsilon L) = (R\epsilon L) \circ (R\epsilon LRL) \quad \text{and} \\ (R\epsilon L) \circ RL\eta = RL = (R\epsilon L) \circ (\eta RL).$$

In fact we have

$$(R\epsilon L) \circ (RLR\epsilon L) \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} (R\epsilon L) \circ (R\epsilon LRL)$$

and

$$(R\epsilon L) \circ RL\eta \stackrel{(L,R)}{=} RL \stackrel{(L,R)}{=} (R\epsilon L) \circ (\eta RL).$$

□

EXERCISE 2.5. Let A, B rings and let M be an B - A -bimodule. Consider the functors

$$L = - \otimes_B M : Mod-B \rightarrow Mod-A \\ R = \text{Hom}_A(M, -) : Mod-A \rightarrow Mod-B.$$

Then $(L, R) = (- \otimes_B M, \text{Hom}_A(M, -))$ is an adjunction. Compute the monad $\mathbb{R}L$ associated to this adjunction. Moreover, compute the monad $\mathbb{R}L$ in the particular case $B = R, A = S, f : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring morphism and $M = S$ endowed with the left B -module structure defined by f .

DEFINITION 2.6. A *module* for a monad $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ on a category \mathcal{A} is a pair $(X, {}^A\mu_X)$ where $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and ${}^A\mu_X : AX \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in \mathcal{A} such that

$$(5) \quad {}^A\mu_X \circ (A^A\mu_X) = {}^A\mu_X \circ (m_AX) \quad \text{and} \quad X = {}^A\mu_X \circ (u_AX).$$

A *morphism* f between two \mathbb{A} -modules $(X, {}^A\mu_X)$ and $(X', {}^A\mu_{X'})$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{A} such that

$${}^A\mu_{X'} \circ (Af) = f \circ {}^A\mu_X.$$

We will denote by ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ the category of \mathbb{A} -modules and their morphisms. This is the so-called *Eilenberg-Moore category* which is sometimes also denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{A}}$.

REMARK 2.7. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. From the unitality property of ${}^A\mu_X$ we deduce that ${}^A\mu_X$ is an epimorphism for every $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ and that u_AX is mono for every $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$, i.e. u_A is a monomorphism.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Consider the monad $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ on $Mod-R$ of Example 2.3. We want to understand the category of modules with respect to this monad. The underlying category is $\mathcal{A} = Mod-R$. Let $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}(Mod-R)$. This means that

$${}^A\mu_X : AX = X \otimes_R S \rightarrow X$$

is a morphism in $Mod-R$ such that ${}^A\mu_X \circ (A^A\mu_X) = {}^A\mu_X \circ (m_AX)$ and $X = {}^A\mu_X \circ (u_AX)$. For every $x \in X$ and $s \in S$ write $xs = {}^A\mu_X(x \otimes_R s)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^A\mu_X \circ (A^A\mu_X))(x \otimes_R s \otimes_R s') &= {}^A\mu_X(xs) \otimes_R s' = (xs)s' \\ ({}^A\mu_X \circ (m_AX))(x \otimes_R s \otimes_R s') &= {}^A\mu_X(x \otimes_R ss') = x(ss') \\ ({}^A\mu_X \circ (u_AX))(x) &= {}^A\mu_X(x \otimes_R 1_S) = x1_S \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau : X \times S \rightarrow X \otimes_R S$ denote the canonical map. Then, in view of the equalities above we have that $(X, {}^A\mu_X \circ \tau) \in Mod-S$. It is easy to see that the assignment $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \mapsto (X, {}^A\mu_X \circ \tau)$ defines an isomorphism of categories from ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ to $Mod-S$.

DEFINITION 2.9. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on \mathcal{A} . The functor

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U : \begin{array}{ccc} {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} \\ (X, {}^A\mu_X) & \rightarrow & X \\ f & \rightarrow & f \end{array}$$

is called the *forgetful functor*.

PROPOSITION 2.10. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . Let $f, g : (X, {}^A\mu_X) \rightarrow (Y, {}^A\mu_Y)$ be morphisms in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$f = g \Leftrightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf = {}_{\mathbb{A}}Ug$$

i.e. the functor ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is faithful

PROPOSITION 2.11. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . Then ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U$ reflects isomorphisms.

Proof. Let $f : (X, {}^A\mu_X) \rightarrow (Y, {}^A\mu_Y)$ be a morphism in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{A} . Since

$${}^A\mu_Y \circ (A{}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf \circ {}^A\mu_X$$

we get that

$$({}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf)^{-1} \circ {}^A\mu_Y = {}^A\mu_X \circ (A({}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf)^{-1}).$$

which entails that $({}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf)^{-1}$ gives rise to a morphism $g : (Y, {}^A\mu_Y) \rightarrow (X, {}^A\mu_X)$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}Ug = ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf)^{-1}$. Hence

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U(f \circ g) = \text{Id}_Y \quad \text{and} \quad {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(g \circ f) = \text{Id}_X$$

so that

$$f \circ g = \text{Id}_{(Y, {}^A\mu_Y)} \quad \text{and} \quad g \circ f = \text{Id}_{(X, {}^A\mu_X)}.$$

□

DEFINITION 2.12. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on \mathcal{A} . The functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{\mathbb{A}}F : \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow & {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \\ X & \rightarrow & (AX, m_AX) \\ f & \rightarrow & Af. \end{array}$$

is called the *free functor*.

PROPOSITION 2.13. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on \mathcal{A} . Then $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ is an adjunction with unit the unit u_A of the monad \mathbb{A}

$$u_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}U{}_{\mathbb{A}}F = A.$$

The counit $\lambda_A : {}_{\mathbb{A}}F{}_{\mathbb{A}}U \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ is uniquely determined by setting

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_A(X, {}^A\mu_X)) = {}^A\mu_X \text{ for every } (X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}.$$

Moreover we have

$$(6) \quad {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{A{}_{\mathbb{A}}F} = m_A$$

Proof. Let $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. In view of (5) we have

$${}^A\mu_X \circ (A{}^A\mu_X) = {}^A\mu_X \circ (m_AX).$$

This means that there exists a morphism

$$\lambda_A(X, {}^A\mu_X) : (AX, m_AX) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}F{}_{\mathbb{A}}U(X, {}^A\mu_X) \rightarrow (X, {}^A\mu_X)$$

such that

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A(X, {}^A\mu_X) = {}^A\mu_X.$$

It is easy to show that in this way we get a functorial morphism $\lambda_A : {}_{\mathbb{A}}F{}_{\mathbb{A}}U \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$.

Let $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} [({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A) \circ (u_{A{}_{\mathbb{A}}F})]((X, {}^A\mu_X)) &= ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A)((X, {}^A\mu_X)) \circ (u_{A{}_{\mathbb{A}}F})((X, {}^A\mu_X)) \\ &= {}^A\mu_X \circ u_{AX} \stackrel{5}{=} X. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that $({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A) \circ (u_{A{}_{\mathbb{A}}F}) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$. We compute

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U[(\lambda_{A{}_{\mathbb{A}}F}) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_A)](X) = [{}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_{A{}_{\mathbb{A}}F}) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U{}_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_A)](X)$$

$$= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F)(X) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_A))(X) = m_A X \circ Au_A X \stackrel{1}{=} X.$$

From this we deduce that

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U[(\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_A)] = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U({}_{\mathbb{A}}F)$$

and hence, by Proposition 2.10, that $(\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_A) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}F$.

Fore every $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ we compute

$$({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F)X = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A(X, m_A X) = m_A X.$$

□

EXERCISE 2.14. Prove that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}FX = (AX, m_A X) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$.

PROPOSITION 2.15. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . Then for every $Z, W \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ we have that

$$Z = W \text{ if and only if } {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(Z) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(W) \text{ and } {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_A Z) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_A W).$$

In particular, if $F, G : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ are functors, we have

$$F = G \text{ if and only if } {}_{\mathbb{A}}UF = {}_{\mathbb{A}}UG \text{ and } {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_A F) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\lambda_A G)$$

LEMMA 2.16. Let (L, R) be an adjunction where $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. and let $\mathbb{A} = (A = RL, m_A = ReL, u_A = \eta)$ be the associated monad on the category \mathcal{B} . Then

- for every $X \in \mathcal{A}$ we have that $(RX, ReX) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$,
- for every morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{A} there is a unique morphism $\overline{R(f)} : (RX, ReX) \rightarrow (RX', ReX')$ in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\overline{R(f)}) = R(f)$

Proof. For every $X \in \mathcal{A}$ we compute

$$ReX \circ RLR\epsilon X \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} ReX \circ ReLRX$$

and

$$ReX \circ \eta RX = RX.$$

Thus we deduce that $(RX, ReX) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism in \mathcal{A} . We compute

$$ReX' \circ RLRf \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} Rf \circ ReX.$$

Thus we deduce that there is a morphism $\overline{R(f)} : (RX, ReX) \rightarrow (RX', ReX')$ in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\overline{R(f)}) = R(f)$. This morphism is unique in view of Proposition 2.10. □

DEFINITIONS 2.17. Let (L, R) be an adjunction where $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. and let $\mathbb{A} = (A = RL, m_A = ReL, u_A = \eta)$ be the associated monad on the category \mathcal{B} . In view of Lemma 2.16, we can consider the functor

$$K = {}_R K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$$

defined by setting

$$K(X) = (RX, ReX) \quad \text{and} \quad K(f) = \overline{R(f)}.$$

This is called the *comparison functor* of the adjunction (L, R) . Note that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ K = R$.

A functor $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ which has a left adjoint $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for which the corresponding comparison functor $K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$ is an equivalence of categories is called *monadic* (*tripleable* in Beck's terminology [[Be2, Definition 3, page 8]]).

PROPOSITION 2.18. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . Then the monad associate to the adjunction $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ is the monad \mathbb{A} and the corresponding comparison functor is the identity on the category ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. In particular the functor ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U$ is monadic.

Proof. We already observed that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F = A$ and that the unit of this adjunction is u_A . For every $X \in \mathcal{A}$ we compute

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U \lambda_{\mathbb{A}} F X = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \lambda_A (AX, m_A X) = m_A X.$$

We deduce that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U \lambda_{\mathbb{A}} F = m_A$ and hence we get that the monad associate to the adjunction $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ is the monad \mathbb{A} . Let now $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ and we compute

$$K((X, \mu)) = ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U(X, \mu), {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \lambda(X, \mu)) = (X, \mu).$$

Let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (X', \mu')$ be a morphism in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. Then $K(f) = \overline{{}_{\mathbb{A}}U(f)}$ where

$$\overline{{}_{\mathbb{A}}U(f)} : K((X, \mu)) = (X, \mu) \rightarrow K((X', \mu')) = (X', \mu')$$

is the unique morphism such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\overline{{}_{\mathbb{A}}U(f)}) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U(f)$. Since ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U$ is faithful, this entails $K(f) = \overline{{}_{\mathbb{A}}U(f)} = f$ and we deduce that $K = {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. \square

3. COMONADS

DEFINITION 3.1. A *comonad* on a category \mathcal{A} is a triple $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$, where $C : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a functor, $\Delta^C : C \rightarrow CC$ and $\varepsilon^C : C \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are functorial morphisms satisfying the coassociativity and the counitality conditions

$$(\Delta^C C) \circ \Delta^C = (C \Delta^C) \circ \Delta^C \quad \text{and} \quad (C \varepsilon^C) \circ \Delta^C = C = (\varepsilon^C C) \circ \Delta^C.$$

DEFINITION 3.2. A *morphism between two comonads* $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ and $\mathbb{D} = (D, \Delta^D, \varepsilon^D)$ on a category \mathcal{A} is a functorial morphism $\varphi : C \rightarrow D$ such that

$$\Delta^D \circ \varphi = (\varphi \varphi) \circ \Delta^C \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^D \circ \varphi = \varepsilon^C.$$

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ an A -coring where A is a ring. This means that

- \mathcal{C} is an A - A -bimodule
- $\Delta^C : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$ is a morphism of A - A -bimodules
- $\varepsilon^C : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of A - A -bimodules satisfying the following

$$(\Delta^C \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ \Delta^C = (\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \Delta^C) \circ \Delta^C, (\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \varepsilon^C) \circ \Delta^C = r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\varepsilon^C \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ \Delta^C = l_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}$$

where $r_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $l_{\mathcal{C}} : A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are the right and left constraints. Let

$$\begin{aligned} C &= - \otimes_A \mathcal{C} : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A \\ \Delta^C &= - \otimes_A \Delta^C : - \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow - \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \\ \varepsilon^C &= r_- \circ (- \otimes_A \varepsilon^C) : - \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow - \otimes_A A \rightarrow - \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ is a comonad on the category $\text{Mod-}A$. For every $M \in \text{Mod-}A$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [(\Delta^C C) \circ \Delta^C](M) &= (\Delta^C CM) \circ (\Delta^C M) \\ &= (M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \Delta^C) \circ (M \otimes_A \Delta^C) = M \otimes_A [(\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \Delta^C) \circ \Delta^C] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Coring}}{=} M \otimes_A [(\Delta^C \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ \Delta^C] = (M \otimes_A \Delta^C \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ (M \otimes_A \Delta^C) \\ &= (C \Delta^C M) \circ (\Delta^C M) = [(C \Delta^C) \circ \Delta^C](M) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(\varepsilon^C C) \circ \Delta^C](M) &= (\varepsilon^C CM) \circ (\Delta^C M) \\ &= r_{CM} \circ (M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \varepsilon^C) \circ (M \otimes_A \Delta^C) = r_{M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}} \circ (M \otimes_A [(\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \varepsilon^C) \circ \Delta^C]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Coring}}{=} r_{M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}} \circ (M \otimes_A r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}) = M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} = CM \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(C \varepsilon^C) \circ \Delta^C](M) &= (C \varepsilon^C M) \circ (\Delta^C M) \\ &= ([r_M \circ (M \otimes_A \varepsilon^C)] \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ (M \otimes_A \Delta^C) \\ &= (r_M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ (M \otimes_A \varepsilon^C \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ (M \otimes_A \Delta^C) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (r_M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ [M \otimes_A ((\varepsilon^C \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ \Delta^C)] \\
&= (r_M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ (M \otimes_A l_C^{-1}) = M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} = CM.
\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let (L, R) be an adjunction with unit η and counit ϵ where $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathbb{C} = (LR, L\eta R, \epsilon)$ is a comonad on the category \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Dual to the proof of Proposition 2.4. \square

DEFINITION 3.5. A *comodule* for a comonad $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ on a category \mathcal{A} is a pair $(X, {}^C\rho_X)$ where $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and ${}^C\rho_X : X \rightarrow CX$ is a morphism in \mathcal{A} such that

$$({}^C\rho_X) \circ {}^C\rho_X = (\Delta^C X) \circ {}^C\rho_X \quad \text{and} \quad X = (\varepsilon^C X) \circ {}^C\rho_X.$$

A *morphism between two \mathbb{C} -comodules* $(X, {}^C\rho_X)$ and $(X', {}^C\rho_{X'})$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{A} such that

$${}^C\rho_{X'} \circ f = (Cf) \circ {}^C\rho_X.$$

We denote by ${}^C\mathcal{A}$ the category of \mathbb{C} -comodule and their morphisms.

DEFINITION 3.6. Let $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ be a comonad on a category \mathcal{A} . The functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^C U : & {}^C\mathcal{A} & \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\
& (X, {}^C\rho_X) & \rightarrow X \\
& f & \rightarrow f
\end{array}$$

is called the *forgetful functor*.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ be a comonad on a category \mathcal{A} . Let $f, g : (X, {}^C\rho_X) \rightarrow (Y, {}^C\rho_Y)$ be morphisms in ${}^C\mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$f = g \Leftrightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf = {}_{\mathbb{A}}Ug$$

i.e. the functor ${}^C U : {}^C\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is faithful

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ be a comonad on a category \mathcal{A} . Then ${}^C U$ reflects isomorphisms.*

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.11. \square

DEFINITION 3.9. Let $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ be a comonad on a category \mathcal{A} . The functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^C F : & \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow {}^C\mathcal{A} \\
& X & \rightarrow (CX, \Delta^C X) \\
& f & \rightarrow Cf
\end{array}$$

is called the *free functor*.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let $\mathbb{C} = (C, \Delta^C, \varepsilon^C)$ be a comonad on a category \mathcal{A} . Then $({}^C U, {}^C F)$ is an adjunction with counit the counit ε^C of the comonad \mathbb{C}*

$$\varepsilon^C : C = {}^C U {}^C F \rightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

The unit $\gamma^C : {}^C\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}^C F {}^C U$ is defined by setting

$${}^C U (\gamma^C (X, {}^C\rho_X)) = {}^C\rho_X \text{ for every } (X, {}^C\rho_X) \in {}^C\mathcal{A}.$$

Moreover we have

$${}^C U \gamma^C {}^C F = \Delta^C.$$

LEMMA 3.11. *Let (L, R) be an adjunction where $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. and let $\mathbb{C} = (C = LR, \Delta^C = L\eta R, \varepsilon^C = \epsilon)$ be the associated comonad on the category \mathcal{A} . Then*

- *for every $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $(LY, L\eta Y) \in {}^C\mathcal{A}$,*
- *for every morphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathcal{B} there is a unique morphism $\overline{L(f)} : (LY, L\eta Y) \rightarrow (LY', L\eta Y')$ in ${}^C\mathcal{A}$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U(\overline{L(f)}) = L(f)$.*

Proof. Dual to the proof of Lemma 2.16. \square

DEFINITIONS 3.12. Let (L, R) be an adjunction where $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathbb{C} = (C = LR, \Delta^C = L\eta R, \varepsilon^C = \epsilon)$ be the associated comonad on the category \mathcal{A} . In view of Lemma 3.11, we can consider the functor

$$K^{co} = K_L^{co} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}$$

defined by setting

$$K^{co}(Y) = (LY, L\eta Y) \quad \text{and} \quad K^{co}(f) = \overline{L(f)}.$$

This is called the *cocomparison functor* of the adjunction (L, R) . Note that ${}^{\mathbb{C}}U \circ K^{co} = L$.

A functor $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which has a right adjoint $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ for which the corresponding cocomparison functor $K_L^{co} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}$ is an equivalence of categories is called *comonadic*.

4. JOHNSTONE FOR MONADS

PROPOSITION 4.1 ([Appel] and [J]). *Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\mathbb{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{B} and let $Q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. Then there is a bijection between the following collections of data*

\mathcal{F} functors $\tilde{Q} : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ that are liftings of Q (i.e. ${}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q} = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U$)
 \mathcal{M} functorial morphisms $\Phi : BQ \rightarrow QA$ such that

$$\Phi \circ (m_B Q) = (Q m_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (B\Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (u_B Q) = Q u_A$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} a : \mathcal{F} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ where } a(\tilde{Q}) = \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BQ u_A) \\ b : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text{ where } b(\Phi) \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right) = (QX, (Q^A\mu_X) \circ (\Phi X)) \\ &\text{and } {}_{\mathbb{B}}U[b(\Phi)(f)] = Q({}_{\mathbb{A}}Uf). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all let us note that,

$$\lambda_A \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \stackrel{\lambda_A}{\cong} \lambda_A \circ \lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U$$

so that we get

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U \stackrel{(6)}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ m_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U$$

and hence

$$(7) \quad {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ A_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ m_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U$$

Let $\tilde{Q} : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ be a lifting of the functor $Q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ (i.e. ${}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q} = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U$).

Define a functorial morphism Φ by setting:

$$\Phi = \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BQ u_A) : BQ \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F = QA$$

where $u_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F = A$ is also the unit of the adjunction $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ and $\lambda_B : {}_{\mathbb{B}}F_{\mathbb{B}}U \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ is the counit of the adjunction. We have to prove that such a Φ satisfies $\Phi \circ (m_B Q) = (Q m_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (B\Phi)$ and $\Phi \circ (u_B Q) = Q u_A$. First, let us note that

$$(8) \quad Q m_A = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F = {}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F$$

Now let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} (Q m_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (B\Phi) &= (Q m_A) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}FA \right) \circ (BQ u_A A) \\ &\quad \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BBQ u_A) \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}FA \right) \circ (BQ u_A A) \\ &\quad \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BBQ u_A) \\ &= {}_{\mathbb{B}}U \left[\left(\tilde{Q}\lambda_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ \left(\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}FA \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}FQ u_A A \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \circ (B_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BBQu_A) \\
\stackrel{\lambda_B}{=} & \mathbb{B}U \left[(\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\mathbb{B}F_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}\lambda_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\mathbb{B}FQu_A) \right] \\
& \circ (B_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BBQu_A) \\
\stackrel{\tilde{Q}\text{lifting}}{=} & \mathbb{B}U \left[(\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\mathbb{B}FQ_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\mathbb{B}FQu_A) \right] \\
& \circ (B_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BBQu_A) \\
\stackrel{(8)}{=} & \mathbb{B}U \left[(\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\mathbb{B}FQm_A) \circ (\mathbb{B}FQu_A) \right] \\
& \circ (B_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BBQu_A) \\
\stackrel{\text{Amonad}}{=} & (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (B_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BBQu_A) \\
\stackrel{(7)}{=} & \left[(\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B \circ m_{B\mathbb{B}}U) \tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right] \circ (BBQu_A) \\
= & (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (m_{B\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BBQu_A) \\
\stackrel{m_B}{=} & (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BQu_A) \circ (m_BQ) \\
= & (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\mathbb{B}U_{\mathbb{B}}FQu_A) \circ (m_BQ) \\
& = \Phi \circ (m_BQ).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi \circ (u_BQ) &= (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BQu_A) \circ (u_BQ) \\
&\stackrel{u_B}{=} (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (u_BQA) \circ (Qu_A) \\
&= (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (u_BQ_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (Qu_A) \\
\stackrel{\tilde{Q}\text{lifting}}{=} & (\mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (u_{B\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (Qu_A) \\
&\quad (\mathbb{B}F_{\mathbb{B}}U)_{\text{adj}} Qu_A.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $\Phi : BQ \rightarrow QA$ be a functorial morphism satisfying $\Phi \circ (m_BQ) = (Qm_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (B\Phi)$ and $\Phi \circ (u_BQ) = Qu_A$. We define $\tilde{Q} : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ by setting, for every $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$,

$$\tilde{Q}((X, \mu)) = (QX, (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)) = (Q_{\mathbb{B}}U(X, \mu), [Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{A}}U](X, \mu)).$$

Note that, a posteriori, we will have

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{B}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q} = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{A}}U$$

We have to check that $(Q(X), (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)) \in {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$, that is

$$\tilde{\mu} \circ B\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu} \circ (m_BQX) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu} \circ (u_BQX) = QX$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mu} \circ (B\tilde{\mu}) &= (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X) \circ (BQ\mu) \circ (B\Phi X) \\
&\stackrel{\Phi}{=} (Q\mu) \circ (QA\mu) \circ (\Phi AX) \circ (B\Phi X) \\
&\stackrel{5}{=} (Q\mu) \circ (Qm_AX) \circ (\Phi AX) \circ (B\Phi X) \\
&\stackrel{\text{property of } \Phi}{=} (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X) \circ (m_BQX) \\
&= \tilde{\mu} \circ (m_BQX).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mu} \circ (u_B QX) &= (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X) \circ (u_B QX) \\ &\stackrel{\text{property of } \Phi}{=} (Q\mu) \circ (Qu_A X) \\ &\stackrel{5}{=} QX.\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (X', \mu')$ be a morphism of \mathbb{A} -modules, that is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\mu' \circ (Af) = f \circ \mu.$$

We want to prove that $Q(f)$ lifts to a morphism $\tilde{Q}(f) : \tilde{Q}(X, \mu) = (QX, (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}(X', \mu') = (QX', (Q\mu') \circ (\Phi X'))$ of \mathbb{B} -modules i.e.

$$[(Q\mu') \circ (\Phi X')] \circ (BQf) \stackrel{?}{=} (Qf) \circ [(Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)].$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}[(Q\mu') \circ (\Phi X')] \circ (BQf) &\stackrel{\Phi}{=} (Q\mu') \circ (QAf) \circ (\Phi X) \\ &\stackrel{f \text{ morph } \mathbb{A}\text{-mod}}{=} (Qf) \circ (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X).\end{aligned}$$

Let now check that \tilde{Q} is a lifting of Q . Let $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ and let us compute

$${}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}((X, \mu)) = {}_{\mathbb{B}}U(QX, (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)) = QX = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U((X, \mu)).$$

Let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (X', \mu')$ be a morphism in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. By construction we have

$${}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}(f) = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U(f) : QX \rightarrow QX'.$$

Therefore \tilde{Q} is a lifting of the functor Q .

We have to prove that we have a bijection. Let us start with $\tilde{Q} : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ a lifting of the functor $Q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Then we construct $\Phi : BQ \rightarrow QA$ given by

$$\Phi = \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BQu_A)$$

and using this functorial morphism we define a functor $\bar{Q} : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ as follows: for every $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$

$$\bar{Q}((X, \mu)) = (QX, (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X)).$$

Since both \tilde{Q} and \bar{Q} are liftings of Q , we have that ${}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q} = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U = {}_{\mathbb{B}}U\bar{Q}$. In view of Proposition 2.15, it remains to prove that ${}_{\mathbb{B}}U(\lambda_B\bar{Q}) = {}_{\mathbb{B}}U(\lambda_B\tilde{Q})$. Since $\bar{Q}(X, \mu) = (Q_{\mathbb{B}}U(X, \mu), [Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{A}}U](X, \mu))$ for every $((X, \mu)) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ we have that

$${}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\bar{Q} = Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{A}}U$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}{}_{\mathbb{B}}U(\lambda_B\bar{Q}) &= Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{A}}U \\ &= (Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U \right) \circ (BQu_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U) \\ &\stackrel{\tilde{Q} \text{ lifting } Q}{=} \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}\lambda_A \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U \right) \circ (BQu_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U) \\ &\stackrel{\lambda_B}{=} \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q} \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\mathbb{B}F_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}\lambda_A \right) \circ (BQu_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U) \\ &= \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q} \right) \circ \left(B \left[{}_{\mathbb{B}}U\tilde{Q}\lambda_A \circ Qu_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U \right] \right) \\ &= \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q} \right) \circ \left(B \left[Q_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \circ Qu_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}U \right] \right) \\ &\stackrel{({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U) \text{ adj}}{=} {}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B\tilde{Q}.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let us start with a functorial morphism $\Phi : BQ \rightarrow QA$ satisfying $\Phi \circ (m_B Q) = (Qm_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (B\Phi)$ and $\Phi \circ (u_B Q) = Qu_A$. Then we construct a functor $\tilde{Q} : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{B}}\mathcal{B}$ by setting, for every $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$,

$$\tilde{Q}((X, \mu)) = (QX, (Q\mu) \circ (\Phi X))$$

which lifts $Q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Now, we define a functorial morphism $\Psi : BQ \rightarrow QA$ given by

$$\Psi = \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B \tilde{Q} {}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BQu_A).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \left({}_{\mathbb{B}}U\lambda_B \tilde{Q} {}_{\mathbb{A}}F \right) \circ (BQu_A) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} (Q {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{\mathbb{A}} {}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\Phi {}_{\mathbb{A}}U {}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BQu_A) \\ &= (Qm_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (BQu_A) \\ &\stackrel{\Phi}{=} (Qm_A) \circ (QAu_A) \circ \Phi \\ &\stackrel{\text{Amonad } \Phi}{=} \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

□

DEFINITION 4.2. A *left module functor* for a monad $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ on a category \mathcal{A} is a pair $(Q, {}^A\mu_Q)$ where $Q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a functor and ${}^A\mu_Q : AQ \rightarrow Q$ is a functorial morphism satisfying:

$${}^A\mu_Q \circ (A {}^A\mu_Q) = {}^A\mu_Q \circ (m_A Q) \quad \text{and} \quad Q = {}^A\mu_Q \circ (u_A Q).$$

EXAMPLE 4.3. In the setting of Example 2.3, $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= - \otimes_R S : Mod-R \rightarrow Mod-R \\ m_A &= - \otimes_R m_S : - \otimes_R S \otimes_R S \rightarrow - \otimes_R S \\ u_A &= : Mod-R \rightarrow - \otimes_R S \end{aligned}$$

Let M be an R - S -bimodule and let $Q = : Mod-R \rightarrow Mod-R$. Then Q is a left module functor for the monad \mathbb{A} via the map via the map

$${}^A\mu_Q = - \otimes_R \mu_M^A : AQ = - \otimes_R M \otimes_R S \longrightarrow Q = - \otimes_R M$$

where we denote by $\mu_M^S : M \otimes_R S \longrightarrow M$ the map induced by the multiplication by S on M .

COROLLARY 4.4. Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A} be categories, let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor. Then there exists a bijective correspondence between the following collections of data:

- \mathcal{H} Left \mathbb{A} -module actions ${}^A\mu_F : AF \rightarrow F$
- \mathcal{G} Functors ${}_A F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U_A F = F$,

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} : \mathcal{H} &\rightarrow \mathcal{G} \text{ where } {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\tilde{a} ({}^A\mu_F) = F \text{ and } {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A \tilde{a} ({}^A\mu_F) = {}^A\mu_F \text{ i.e.} \\ \tilde{a} ({}^A\mu_F) (X) &= (FX, {}^A\mu_F X) \text{ and } \tilde{a} ({}^A\mu_F) (f) = F(f) \\ \tilde{b} : \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ where } \tilde{b} ({}_A F) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_{A A} F : AF \rightarrow F. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.1 to the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{A} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{A}$. Then $\tilde{Q} = {}_A F$ is the lifting of F and $\Phi = {}^A\mu_F$ satisfies ${}^A\mu_F \circ (m_A F) = {}^A\mu_F \circ (A {}^A\mu_F)$ and ${}^A\mu_F \circ (u_A F) = F$ that is $(F, {}^A\mu_F)$ is a left \mathbb{A} -module functor. □

COROLLARY 4.5. Let (L, R) be an adjunction with $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on \mathcal{B} . Then there is a bijective correspondence between the following collections of data

- \mathfrak{K} Functors $K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{B}$ such that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ K = R$,

\mathfrak{L} functorial morphism $\alpha : AR \rightarrow R$ such that (R, α) is a left module functor for the monad \mathbb{A} given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi & : \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L} \text{ where } \Phi(K) = \mathbb{A}U\lambda_A K : AR \rightarrow R \\ \Omega & : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K} \text{ where } \Omega(\alpha)(X) = (RX, \alpha X) \text{ and } \mathbb{A}U\Omega(\alpha)(f) = R(f). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.4 to the case "F" = $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ where (L, R) is an adjunction with $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ a monad on \mathcal{B} . \square

5. DISTRIBUTIVE LAWS AND LIFTING OF MONADS

From 4.1 we get

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $B : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor. Then there is a bijection between the following collections of data*

\mathcal{F} functors $\tilde{B} : \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$ that are liftings of B (i.e. $\mathbb{A}U\tilde{B} = B\mathbb{A}U$)
 \mathcal{M} functorial morphisms $\Phi : AB \rightarrow BA$ such that

$$\Phi \circ (m_A B) = (Bm_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (A\Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (u_A B) = Bu_A$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} a & : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ where } a(\tilde{B}) = \left(\mathbb{A}U\lambda_A \tilde{B} \mathbb{A}F \right) \circ (ABu_A) \\ b & : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text{ where } b(\Phi) \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right) = (BX, (B^A\mu_X) \circ (\Phi X)) \\ & \text{and } \mathbb{A}U[b(\Phi)(f)] = B\mathbb{A}U(f). \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 5.2. [Be1] Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ and $\mathbb{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ be monads on a category \mathcal{A} . A functorial morphisms $\Phi : AB \rightarrow BA$ such that

$$(10) \quad \Phi \circ (m_A B) = (Bm_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (A\Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (u_A B) = Bu_A$$

and

$$(11) \quad \Phi \circ (Am_B) = (m_B A) \circ (B\Phi) \circ (\Phi B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (Au_B) = u_B A$$

is said to be a distributive law of \mathbb{A} over \mathbb{B} .

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ and $\mathbb{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ be monads on a category \mathcal{A} . Then there is a bijection between the following collections of data*

\mathcal{D} distributive laws of \mathbb{A} over \mathbb{B}
 \mathcal{M} monads $\hat{\mathbb{B}} = \left(\hat{B}, m_{\hat{B}}, u_{\hat{B}} \right)$ on $\mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$ that are lifting of \mathbb{B} (i.e. $\mathbb{A}U\hat{B} = B\mathbb{A}U, \mathbb{A}Um_{\hat{B}} = m_{B\mathbb{A}U}, \mathbb{A}Uu_{\hat{B}} = u_{B\mathbb{A}U}$)

given by

$$\begin{aligned} a & : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ where } a(\Phi) = \hat{\mathbb{B}} \text{ where } \hat{\mathbb{B}} = \left(\hat{B}, m_{\hat{B}}, u_{\hat{B}} \right) \text{ and} \\ \hat{B} \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right) & = (BX, (B^A\mu_X) \circ (\Phi X)), \mathbb{A}U\hat{B}(f) = B\mathbb{A}U(f) \\ b & : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \text{ where } b \left(\left(\hat{B}, m_{\hat{B}}, u_{\hat{B}} \right) \right) = \left(\mathbb{A}U\lambda_A \hat{B} \mathbb{A}F \right) \circ (ABu_A). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\Phi : AB \rightarrow BA$ be a distributive law of \mathbb{A} over \mathbb{B} . By Proposition 5.1 we know that $\hat{B} : \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$ defined by setting $\hat{B} \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right) = (BX, (B^A\mu_X) \circ (\Phi X))$, $\mathbb{A}U\hat{B}(f) = B\mathbb{A}U(f)$ is a functor.

Let $(X, {}^A\mu_X) \in \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$ and let us prove that $m_B X : B^2 X \rightarrow BX$ lifts to a morphism $m_{\hat{B}}(X, {}^A\mu_X)$ in $\mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$ from $\left(\hat{B} \right)^2 \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right)$ to $\hat{B} \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\hat{B} \right)^2 \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right) & = \hat{B} \left(\hat{B} \left((X, {}^A\mu_X) \right) \right) = \hat{B} \left(BX, (B^A\mu_X) \circ (\Phi X) \right) \\ & = \left(B^2(X), (B^2\mu_X) \circ (B\Phi X) \circ (\Phi BX) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (m_B X) \circ (B^2 A \mu_X) \circ (B \Phi X) \circ \Phi B X &\stackrel{m_B}{=} (B^A \mu_X) \circ (m_B A X) \circ (B \Phi X) \circ (\Phi B X) \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} (B^A \mu_X) \circ (\Phi X) \circ (A m_B X). \end{aligned}$$

We have to check that in this way we get a functorial morphism $m_{\widehat{B}} : (\widehat{B})^2 \rightarrow \widehat{B}$. Let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (X', \mu')$ be a morphism in \mathbb{A} . We have to prove that

$$m_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ (\widehat{B})^2 f = (\widehat{B}) f \circ m_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu)$$

which amounts, in view of Proposition 2.10, to

$$\mathbb{A}U \left[m_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ (\widehat{B})^2 f \right] = \mathbb{A}U \left[(\widehat{B}) f \circ m_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu) \right].$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}U \left[m_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ (\widehat{B})^2 f \right] &= \mathbb{A}U m_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ \mathbb{A}U (\widehat{B})^2 f = m_B X' \circ B^2 \mathbb{A}U f \\ &\stackrel{m_B}{=} B \mathbb{A}U f \circ m_B X = \mathbb{A}U \widehat{B} f \circ \mathbb{A}U m_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu) = \mathbb{A}U \left[(\widehat{B}) f \circ m_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that $u_B X : X \rightarrow B X$ lifts to a morphism $u_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu)$ in \mathbb{A} from $((X, {}^A \mu_X))$ to $\widehat{B}((X, {}^A \mu_X))$. We compute

$$(B^A \mu_X) \circ (\Phi X) \circ (A u_B X) \stackrel{(11)}{=} (B^A \mu_X) \circ (u_B A X) \stackrel{u_B}{=} (u_B X) \circ {}^A \mu_X.$$

We have to check that in this way we get a functorial morphism $u_{\widehat{B}} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \widehat{B}$. Let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (X', \mu')$ be a morphism in \mathbb{A} . We have to prove that

$$u_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ f = (\widehat{B}) f \circ u_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu)$$

which amounts, in view of Proposition 2.10, to

$$\mathbb{A}U \left[u_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ f \right] = \mathbb{A}U \left[(\widehat{B}) f \circ u_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu) \right].$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}U \left[u_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ f \right] &= \mathbb{A}U u_{\widehat{B}}(X', \mu') \circ \mathbb{A}U f = u_B X' \circ \mathbb{A}U f \stackrel{u_B}{=} B \mathbb{A}U f \circ u_B X \\ &= \mathbb{A}U \left[(\widehat{B}) f \circ u_{\widehat{B}}(X, \mu) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to check that $\widehat{\mathbb{B}} = (\widehat{B}, m_{\widehat{B}}, u_{\widehat{B}})$ is a monad on \mathbb{A} . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}U \left[m_{\widehat{B}} \circ (m_{\widehat{B}} \widehat{B}) \right] &= m_B \mathbb{A}U \circ m_B B \mathbb{A}U \\ &\stackrel{(B, m_B, u_B) \text{ is a monad}}{=} m_B \mathbb{A}U \circ (B m_B \mathbb{A}U) = \mathbb{A}U \left[m_{\widehat{B}} \circ \widehat{B} m_{\widehat{B}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

so that, in view of Proposition 2.10, we conclude that

$$m_{\widehat{B}} \circ (m_{\widehat{B}} \widehat{B}) = m_{\widehat{B}} \circ \widehat{B} m_{\widehat{B}}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}U \left[m_{\widehat{B}} \circ (\widehat{B} u_{\widehat{B}}) \right] &= m_B \mathbb{A}U \circ B u_B \mathbb{A}U \\ &\stackrel{(B, m_B, u_B) \text{ is a monad}}{=} m_B \mathbb{A}U \circ u_B B \mathbb{A}U = \mathbb{A}U \left[m_{\widehat{B}} \circ (\widehat{B} u_{\widehat{B}}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

so that, in view of Proposition 2.10, we conclude that

$$m_{\widehat{B}} \circ (\widehat{B} u_{\widehat{B}}) = \widehat{B} = m_{\widehat{B}} \circ (\widehat{B} u_{\widehat{B}}).$$

Let now $\widehat{\mathbb{B}} = (\widehat{B}, m_{\widehat{B}}, u_{\widehat{B}})$ be a monad on ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ that is a lifting of \mathbb{B} . By Proposition 5.1 we already know that $\Phi = ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (ABu_A)$ is a functorial morphism from AB to BA which satisfies (10). Let us prove it satisfies also (11). We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& (m_B A) \circ (B\Phi) \circ (\Phi B) \\
&= (m_B A) \circ (B_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (BABu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FB) \circ (ABu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \\
&= (m_{B_{\mathbb{A}}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (B_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (B_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FB) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \\
&= ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Um_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FB) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FB) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ \left[(\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \right] \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FB) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \right] \\
&\stackrel{\lambda_A}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FB_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_{\mathbb{A}B}) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}FB(ABu_A \circ u_{\mathbb{A}B}) \right] \\
&\stackrel{u_A}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U\widehat{B}\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}FB(u_{\mathbb{A}BA} \circ Bu_A) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FB_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}FB(u_{\mathbb{A}BA} \circ Bu_A) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FB \left({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F \circ (u_{\mathbb{A}B_{\mathbb{A}}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F \circ Bu_A) \right)) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FB \left(({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (u_{\mathbb{A}B_{\mathbb{A}}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ Bu_A \right)) \right] \\
&\stackrel{({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A) \circ (u_{\mathbb{A}B_{\mathbb{A}}}U_{\mathbb{A}}) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}U}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(m_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ (\lambda_A\widehat{B}\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBBu_A) \right] \\
&\stackrel{\lambda_A}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}Um_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBBu_A) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fm_{B_{\mathbb{A}}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBBu_A) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fm_B A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBBu_A) \right] \\
&\stackrel{m_B}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}Fm_B) \right] \\
&= ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (ABu_A) \circ (Am_B) \\
&= \Phi \circ (Am_B).
\end{aligned}$$

We also compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi \circ (Au_B) &= ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ (ABu_A) \circ (Au_B) \\
&= ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}FBu_A) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}U_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_B) \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F(Bu_A \circ u_B) \right] \\
&\stackrel{u_B}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F(u_{BA} \circ u_A) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F(u_{B_{\mathbb{A}}}U_{\mathbb{A}}F \circ u_A) \right] \\
&= {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[(\lambda_A\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}F) \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F({}_{\mathbb{A}}Uu_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}}}F \circ u_A) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&=_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[\left(\lambda_A \widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}} F \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{A}}F {}_{\mathbb{A}}U u_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}} F} \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{A}}F u_A \right) \right] \\
&\stackrel{\lambda_A}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \left[\left(u_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}} F} \right) \circ \left(\lambda_{A \mathbb{A}} F \right) \circ \left({}_{\mathbb{A}}F u_A \right) \right] \\
&\stackrel{(\lambda_{A \mathbb{A}} F) \circ ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F u_A) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}F}{=} {}_{\mathbb{A}}U u_{\widehat{B}_{\mathbb{A}} F} = u_{B \mathbb{A}} U {}_{\mathbb{A}}F = u_B A.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. DESCENT DATA AND QUASI-SYMMETRIES ASSOCIATED TO A MONAD

DEFINITIONS 6.1. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . Let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a functorial morphism.

We will say that Φ satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation if

$$(12) \quad A\Phi \circ \Phi A \circ A\Phi = \Phi A \circ A\Phi \circ \Phi A$$

holds true.

We will say that Φ is a BD-law on \mathbb{A} [KLV, Definition 2.2] provided it is a distributive law of \mathbb{A} over itself i.e. it satisfies

$$(13) \quad \Phi \circ (m_A A) = (Am_A) \circ (\Phi A) \circ (A\Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (u_A A) = Au_A$$

and

$$(14) \quad \Phi \circ (Am_A) = (m_A A) \circ (A\Phi) \circ (\Phi A) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (Au_A) = u_A A$$

and it satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation.

DEFINITIONS 6.2. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a BD-law on \mathbb{A} . Let $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. A quasi Φ -symmetry on (X, μ) is a morphism $c : AX \rightarrow AX$ such that

$$(15) \quad \mu \circ c \circ uX = X$$

$$(16) \quad Ac \circ \Phi X \circ Ac = \Phi X \circ Ac \circ \Phi X$$

$$(17) \quad c \circ A\mu = mX \circ Ac \circ \Phi X$$

We denote by $\Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu)$ the set of quasi Φ -symmetries on (X, μ) . Moreover we write $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$ for the category having as objects pairs

$$((X, \mu), c) \text{ where } (X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \text{ and } c \in \Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu).$$

A morphism $f : ((X, \mu), c) \rightarrow ((X', \mu'), c')$ is a morphism $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (X', \mu')$ in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ such that $c' \circ Af = Af \circ c$.

A quasi Φ -symmetry c on (X, μ) is called a Φ -symmetry if $c^2 = AX$. We denote by $\Phi\text{-Symm}(X, \mu)$ the subset of $\Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu)$ consisting of Φ -symmetries and by $\text{Symm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$ the full subcategory of $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$ whose objects are pairs $((X, \mu), c)$ where $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ and $c \in \Phi\text{-Symm}(X, \mu)$.

REMARK 6.3. $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. In [KLV, Definition 3.3] a quasi Φ -symmetry on (X, μ) is called Φ -braiding on (X, μ) .

REMARK 6.4. Let $f : B \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of rings. Every $M \in \text{Mod-}A$ has a natural structure of right B -module defined by setting

$$m \cdot b = mf(b) \quad \text{for every } m \in M \text{ and } b \in B.$$

We will denote by M endowed with this $f_*(M)$ right B -module structure. It is easy to check that every morphism of right A -modules $g : M \rightarrow M'$ becomes automatically a morphism $f_*(g) : f_*(M) \rightarrow f_*(M')$ in $\text{Mod-}B$ and in this way we get a functor $f_* : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$. On the other hand, A has a left B -module structure defined by

$$b \cdot a = f(b)a \quad \text{for every } b \in B \text{ and } a \in A.$$

In this way A becomes a B - A -bimodule. Let $L := (-) \otimes_B A : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$ be the extension of scalars functor and $R := \text{Hom}_A(BA, -) : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$ be the restriction of scalars functor

(see 2.5). In the following we will identify R with f_* through the natural isomorphism of right B -modules:

$$\nu_M : \text{Hom}_A({}_B A_A, M) \rightarrow f_*(M), \quad h \mapsto h(1_A).$$

EXAMPLE 6.5. Let $f : B \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of rings. Let $L := (-) \otimes_B A = f^* : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$ be the extension of scalars functor and $R := \text{Hom}_A({}_B A, -) = f_* : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$ be the restriction of scalars functor (see 2.5). Let $\mathbb{A} = (RL, m = R\epsilon L, u = \eta)$ be the associated monad on $\text{Mod-}B$ (see Proposition 2.4). For any $E \in \text{Mod-}B$ we have

$RLE = E \otimes_B A$ regarded as a right B -module

$$\begin{aligned} mE : E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A &\rightarrow E \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a \otimes a' &\mapsto x \otimes aa' \\ uE : E_B &\rightarrow E \otimes_B A \\ x &\mapsto x \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $\text{Im}(f)$ is contained in the center of A . Let $\Phi : (RL)^2 \rightarrow (RL)^2$, be the functorial morphism defined by

$$\Phi E = E \otimes_B \tau : E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \rightarrow E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \text{ for any } E \in \text{Mod-}B$$

where $\tau : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$ is the usual flip $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$. Note for $\Phi E = E \otimes_B \tau$ to be a morphism in $\text{Mod-}B$ we need that

$$\begin{aligned} x \otimes a' \otimes ab &= (x \otimes a' \otimes a) b = [\Phi E(x \otimes a \otimes a')] b = \Phi E((x \otimes a \otimes a') b) \\ &= \Phi E(x \otimes a \otimes a' b) = x \otimes a' b \otimes a = x \otimes a' \otimes ba \end{aligned}$$

which is satisfied in view of our assumption. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} RL u E : E \otimes_B A &\rightarrow E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a &\mapsto x \otimes 1 \otimes a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u R L E : E \otimes_B A &\rightarrow E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a &\mapsto x \otimes a \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R L m E &: [E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \rightarrow [E \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'' &\mapsto x \otimes aa' \otimes a'' \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$R L m = - \otimes_B m \otimes_B A$$

$$\begin{aligned} m R L E &: [E \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \rightarrow [E \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'' &\mapsto x \otimes a \otimes a' a'' \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$m R L = - \otimes_B A \otimes_B m$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi R L E : [E \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \otimes_B A &\rightarrow [E \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'' &\mapsto x \otimes a \otimes a'' \otimes a' \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Phi R L = - \otimes_B A \otimes_B \tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} R L (\Phi E) : [E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A &\rightarrow [E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A] \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'' &\mapsto x \otimes a' \otimes a \otimes a'' \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$R L \Phi = - \otimes_B \tau \otimes_B A$$

Let us check that Φ satisfies (13). For every $x \in E, a, a', a'' \in A$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi E \circ mRLE)(x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'') &= \Phi E(x \otimes a \otimes a' a'') = x \otimes a' a'' \otimes a \\ &= [(RLmE) \circ (\Phi RLE) \circ (RL\Phi E)](x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'') \\ &= [(RLmE) \circ (\Phi RLE)](x \otimes a' \otimes a \otimes a'') \\ &= (RLmE)(x \otimes a' \otimes a'' \otimes a) = x \otimes a' a'' \otimes a \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[\Phi E \circ (uRLE)](x \otimes a) = \Phi E(x \otimes a \otimes 1) = x \otimes 1 \otimes a = (RLuE)(x \otimes a).$$

Let us check that Φ satisfies (14). For every $x \in E, a, a', a'' \in A$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi E \circ (RLmE)](x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'') &= \Phi E(x \otimes a a' \otimes a'') = x \otimes a'' \otimes a a' \\ &= [(mRLE) \circ (RL\Phi E) \circ (\Phi RLE)](x \otimes a \otimes a' \otimes a'') \\ &= [(mRLE) \circ (RL\Phi E)](x \otimes a \otimes a'' \otimes a') \\ &= (mRLE)(x \otimes a'' \otimes a \otimes a') = x \otimes a'' \otimes a a' \end{aligned}$$

so that we get

$$\Phi E \circ (RLmE) = (mRLE) \circ (RL\Phi E) \circ (\Phi RLE).$$

We compute

$$[\Phi E \circ (RLuE)] = (\Phi E)(x \otimes 1 \otimes a) = x \otimes a \otimes 1 = (uRLE)(x \otimes a).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\Phi \circ (RLm) = (mRL) \circ (RL\Phi) \circ (\Phi RL) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (RLu) = uRL$$

Let us check that Φ satisfies (12). We have

$$\begin{aligned} RL\Phi \circ \Phi RL \circ RL\Phi &= - \otimes_B [(\tau \otimes_B A) \circ (A \otimes_B \tau) \circ (\tau \otimes_B A)] \\ &= - \otimes_B [(A \otimes_B \tau) \circ (\tau \otimes_B A) \circ (A \otimes_B \tau)] = \Phi RL \circ RL\Phi \circ \Phi RL. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Φ is a BD-law on $Mod\text{-}B$.

REMARK 6.6. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a BD-law on \mathbb{A} . For every $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Phi X : A^2 X \rightarrow A^2 X$ is a quasi Φ -symmetry on ${}_{\mathbb{A}}F(X) = (AX, mX)$. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} mX \circ \Phi X \circ uAX &\stackrel{(13)}{=} mX \circ AuX = AX \\ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \circ A\Phi X &\stackrel{(12)}{=} \Phi AX \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \\ \Phi X \circ AmX &\stackrel{(14)}{=} mAX \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{A} , then

$$Af : ((AX, mX), \Phi AX) \rightarrow ((AX', mX'), \Phi AX')$$

is a morphism in $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$. Then it is easy to show that in this way we obtain a functor

$$\begin{aligned} J : \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi) \\ X &\mapsto ((AX, mX), \Phi X) \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 6.7. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . and let $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ the corresponding adjunction with unit u and counit λ . Let $\mathbb{A}^* = ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U, {}_{\mathbb{A}}Fu_{\mathbb{A}}U, \lambda)$ be the comonad on the category ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ associated to this adjunction (Proposition 3.4). Let $(X, \mu) \in {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$. A *descent datum* on (X, μ) is a morphism

$$\rho : (X, \mu) \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}F_{\mathbb{A}}U(X, \mu) = (AX, mX)$$

in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ such that $((X, \mu), \rho) \in {}^{\mathbb{A}^*}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A})$ i.e. the following equalities are satisfied

$$(18) \quad mX \circ A\rho = \rho \circ \mu \text{ i.e. } \rho \text{ is a morphism in } {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$$

$$(19) \quad A\rho \circ \rho = AuX \circ \rho$$

$$(20) \quad \mu \circ \rho = \text{Id}_X$$

The set of all descent data on (X, μ) will be denoted by $\text{Des}(X, \mu)$.

REMARK 6.8. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} . For every $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $AuX : {}_{\mathbb{A}}FX = (AX, mX) \rightarrow (AA X, mA X)$ is a descent datum on (AX, mX) . In fact we have:

$$\begin{aligned} mA X \circ AAuX &\stackrel{m}{=} AuX \circ mX \\ AAuX \circ AuX &\stackrel{u}{=} AuAX \circ AuX \\ mX \circ AuX &= AX. \end{aligned}$$

This is the canonical comparison $K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^*({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A})$ of the adjoint pair $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ sending $X \in \mathcal{A}$ to

$$({}_{\mathbb{A}}FX, {}_{\mathbb{A}}FuX) = ((AX, mX), AuX).$$

NOTATIONS 6.9. Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a BD-law on \mathbb{A} . We denote by $V : \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi) \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ the forgetful functor and with $J : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$ the functor defined by (see Remark 6.6)

$$J(X) = ((AX, mX), \Phi X).$$

PROPOSITION 6.10. [KLV, Theorem 3.7] *Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a BD-law on \mathbb{A} . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{{}_{\mathbb{A}}F} {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \right) &= \left(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{J} \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi) \xrightarrow{V} {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A} \right) \\ {}_{\mathbb{A}}F &= V \circ J, \quad {}_{\mathbb{A}}F \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U = V \circ J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \end{aligned}$$

and $(V, J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ is an adjunction with counit $\lambda_A : {}_{\mathbb{A}}F \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U = V \circ J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}$ and unit $\beta : \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi) \rightarrow J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ V$ defined by

$$\beta((X, \mu), c) = c \circ uX \quad \text{for every } ((X, \mu), c) \in \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi).$$

Moreover the comonad corresponding to the adjunction $(V, J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ coincides with the comonad $\mathbb{A}^* = ({}_{\mathbb{A}}F {}_{\mathbb{A}}U, {}_{\mathbb{A}}F u {}_{\mathbb{A}}U, \lambda)$ corresponding to the adjunction $({}_{\mathbb{A}}F, {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$(V \circ J)(X) = V((AX, mX), \Phi X) = (AX, mX) = {}_{\mathbb{A}}F(X).$$

Thus ${}_{\mathbb{A}}F \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U = V \circ J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U$. Let now $((X, \mu), c) \in \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$ and let us check that $\beta((X, \mu), c) = c \circ uX$ is a morphism

$$\beta((X, \mu), c) : ((X, \mu), c) \rightarrow (J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ V)((X, \mu), c) = ((AX, mX), \Phi X)$$

in $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$. We compute

$$c \circ uX \circ \mu \stackrel{u}{=} c \circ A\mu \circ uAX \stackrel{(17)}{=} mX \circ Ac \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \stackrel{(13)}{=} mX \circ Ac \circ AuX$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Ac \circ AuX \circ c &\stackrel{(13)}{=} Ac \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \circ c \stackrel{u}{=} Ac \circ \Phi X \circ Ac \circ uAX \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \Phi X \circ Ac \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \stackrel{(13)}{=} \Phi X \circ Ac \circ AuX. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that in this way we get a functorial morphism $\beta : \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi) \rightarrow J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ V$. Let

$$f : ((X, \mu), c) \rightarrow ((X', \mu'), c')$$

be a morphism in $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U \circ V)(f) \beta((X, \mu), c) &= Af \circ c \circ uX \\ &= c' \circ Af \circ uX \stackrel{u}{=} c' \circ uX' \circ f = \beta((X', \mu'), c') \circ f. \end{aligned}$$

Let us know show $(V, J \circ {}_{\mathbb{A}}U)$ is an adjunction with counit $\lambda = \lambda_A$ and unit β .

For every $((X, \mu), c) \in \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}U[\lambda V(((X, \mu), c)) \circ V\beta(((X, \mu), c))] &= \mathbb{A}U\lambda((X, \mu)) \circ c \circ uX \\ &= \mu \circ c \circ uX \stackrel{(15)}{=} X = \mathbb{A}U[V(((X, \mu), c))] \end{aligned}$$

and for every $(X, \mu) \in \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbb{A}U \circ V)((J \circ \mathbb{A}U)\lambda(X, \mu) \circ [\beta(J \circ \mathbb{A}U)(X, \mu)]) \\ &= J\mu \circ (V \circ \mathbb{A}U)[\beta((AX, mX), \Phi X)] = A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} A\mu \circ AuX \stackrel{5}{=} AX = (\mathbb{A}U \circ V)(J \circ \mathbb{A}U)(X, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Since both the functors $\mathbb{A}U$ and $V \circ \mathbb{A}U$ are faithful, we conclude.

In view of the foregoing, to prove the last statement it remains to prove that

$$V\beta J_{\mathbb{A}U} = \mathbb{A}F u_{\mathbb{A}U}.$$

Let $(X, \mu) \in \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (V\beta J_{\mathbb{A}U})(X, \mu) &= V\beta J(X) = V\beta(AX, mX, \Phi X) \\ &= \Phi X \circ uAX \stackrel{(13)}{=} AuX = (\mathbb{A}F u_{\mathbb{A}U})(X, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 6.11. *Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a BD-law on \mathbb{A} . For every $(X, \mu) \in \mathbb{A}\mathcal{A}$ the assignment*

$$c \mapsto c \circ uX$$

defines a bijection

$$\Gamma(X, \mu) : \Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu) \rightarrow \text{Des}(X, \mu)$$

whose inverse $\Gamma'(X, \mu)$ is defined by setting

$$\Gamma'(X, \mu)(\rho) = A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho.$$

Moreover if $\Phi X \circ \Phi X = A^2 X$, then $\Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu) = \Phi\text{-Symm}(X, \mu)$.

Proof. Let $c \in \Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu)$ and let us check that $c \circ uX \in \text{Des}(X, \mu)$. Let us check (18).

$$\begin{aligned} mX \circ A(c \circ uX) &= mX \circ Ac \circ AuX \stackrel{(13)}{=} mX \circ Ac \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \stackrel{(17)}{=} c \circ A\mu \circ uAX \\ &\stackrel{u}{=} (c \circ uX) \circ \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check (19).

$$\begin{aligned} AuX \circ (c \circ uX) &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \Phi X \circ (uAX \circ c) \circ uX \stackrel{u}{=} \Phi X \circ Ac \circ uAX \circ uX \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{=} (\Phi X \circ Ac \circ \Phi X) \circ AuX \circ uX \stackrel{(16)}{=} Ac \circ \Phi X \circ (Ac \circ AuX) \circ uX \\ &\stackrel{u}{=} Ac \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \circ c \circ uX \stackrel{(13)}{=} Ac \circ AuX \circ c \circ uX = A(c \circ uX) \circ c \circ uX. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check (20).

$$\mu \circ (c \circ uX) \stackrel{(15)}{=} X$$

Let $\rho \in \text{Des}(X, \mu)$. Let us check that $A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho \in \Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu)$. Let us check (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho \circ uX &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \mu \circ mX \circ \Phi X \circ (A\rho \circ uX) \stackrel{u}{=} \mu \circ mX \circ (\Phi X \circ uAX) \circ \rho \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \mu \circ mX \circ (AuX \circ \rho) \stackrel{(19)}{=} \mu \circ (mX \circ A\rho) \circ \rho \stackrel{(18)}{=} \mu \circ \rho \circ \mu \circ \rho = X. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check (16)

$$\begin{aligned} &A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \circ (\Phi X \circ A^2\mu) \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \\ &\stackrel{\Phi}{=} A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ (A^2\rho \circ A^2\mu) \circ \Phi AX \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(18)}{=} A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ (A^2mX \circ A^3\rho \circ \Phi AX) \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \\
& \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \circ A^2mX \circ (A^3\rho \circ A\Phi X) \circ A^2\rho \\
& \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \circ A^2mX \circ A\Phi AX \circ (A^3\rho \circ A^2\rho) \\
& \stackrel{(19)}{=} A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \circ A^2mX \circ (A\Phi AX \circ A^3uX) \circ A^2\rho \\
& \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \circ (A^2mX \circ A^3uX) \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \\
& \quad = A^2\mu \circ (A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX \circ A\Phi X) \circ A^2\rho \\
& \stackrel{(12)}{=} (A^2\mu \circ \Phi AX) \circ A\Phi X \circ (\Phi AX \circ A^2\rho) \stackrel{\Phi}{=} \Phi X \circ A^2\mu \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \circ \Phi X
\end{aligned}$$

Let us check (17)

$$\begin{aligned}
& mX \circ A(A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho) \circ \Phi X \\
& = (mX \circ A^2\mu) \circ A\Phi X \circ A^2\rho \circ \Phi X \\
& \stackrel{m}{=} A\mu \circ mAx \circ A\Phi X \circ (A^2\rho \circ \Phi X) \\
& \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A\mu \circ (mAX \circ A\Phi X \circ \Phi AX) \circ A^2\rho \\
& \stackrel{(14)}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ (AmX \circ A^2\rho) \\
& \stackrel{(18)}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho \circ A\mu \\
& = (A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho) \circ A\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $c \in \Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu)$. Since, by Proposition 6.10, $\beta((X, \mu), c) = c \circ uX$ is a morphism in $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$, we have that

$$(21) \quad Ac \circ AuX \circ c = \Phi X \circ Ac \circ AuX.$$

We deduce that

$$(\Gamma'(X, \mu) \circ \Gamma(X, \mu))(c) = A\mu \circ (\Phi X \circ Ac \circ AuX) \stackrel{(21)}{=} (A\mu \circ Ac \circ AuX) \circ c \stackrel{(15)}{=} c.$$

Let $\rho \in \text{Des}(X, \mu)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma(X, \mu) \circ \Gamma'(X, \mu))(\rho) & = A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ (A\rho \circ uX) \stackrel{u}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ uAX \circ \rho \\
& \stackrel{(13)}{=} A\mu \circ AuX \circ \rho \stackrel{(5)}{=} \rho
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $\Phi X \circ \Phi X = A^2X$ and let $\rho \in \text{Des}(X, \mu)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ (A\rho \circ A\mu) \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho & \stackrel{(18)}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ AmX \circ (A^2\rho \circ \Phi X) \circ A\rho \\
& \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ AmX \circ \Phi AX \circ (A^2\rho \circ A\rho) \\
& \stackrel{(19)}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ AmX \circ (\Phi AX \circ A^2uX) \circ A\rho \\
& \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ AmX \circ A^2uX \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho \\
& = A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho = A\mu \circ A\rho \stackrel{(20)}{=} AX.
\end{aligned}$$

Since any $c \in \Phi\text{-QSymm}(X, \mu)$ is of the form $\Gamma'(X, \mu)(\rho)$ for $\rho = \Gamma(X, \mu)(c)$, we conclude. \square

We now give a new and self-contained proof of the following Theorem.

THEOREM 6.12. [KLV, Theorem 3.7] *Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, m, u)$ be a monad on a category \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ be a BD-law on \mathbb{A} . Let K^{co} be the comultiplication functor K^{co} of the adjunction $(V, J_{\mathbb{A}}U)$*

$$K^{co} : \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi) \rightarrow {}^V J_{\mathbb{A}} U({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}) = {}^{\mathbb{A}} F_{\mathbb{A}} U({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A}) = {}^{\mathbb{A}^*}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{A})$$

defined by

$$K^{co}(((X, \mu), c)) = (V(((X, \mu), c)), V\beta((X, \mu), c)) = ((X, \mu), c \circ uX)$$

is an isomorphism of categories whose inverse is the functor Λ defined by setting

$$\Lambda(((X, \mu), \rho)) = ((X, \mu), A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho).$$

In particular the functor V is comonadic.

Proof. In view of Proposition 6.11, we know that $((X, \mu), A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho) \in \text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$ for every $((X, \mu), \rho) \in \mathbb{A}^*(\mathbb{A}\mathcal{A})$. Let

$$f : ((X, \mu), \rho) \rightarrow ((X', \mu'), \rho')$$

be a morphism in $\mathbb{A}^*(\mathbb{A}\mathcal{A})$. We have

$$Af \circ (A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho) = A\mu' \circ A^2f \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho \stackrel{\Phi}{=} A\mu' \circ \Phi X' \circ A^2f \circ A\rho = (A\mu' \circ \Phi X' \circ A\rho') \circ Af$$

so that

$$f : ((X, \mu), A\mu \circ \Phi X \circ A\rho) \rightarrow ((X', \mu'), A\mu' \circ \Phi X' \circ A\rho')$$

is a morphism in $\text{QSymm}(\mathbb{A}, \Phi)$. We deduce that Λ is a functor. In view of Proposition 6.11, we get that K^{co} is an isomorphism of categories with inverse Λ . \square

EXAMPLE 6.13. Let $f : B \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of rings. Let $L := (-) \otimes_B A = f^* : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$ be the extension of scalars functor and $R := \text{Hom}_A(BA, -) = f_* : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$ be the restriction of scalars functor. Let $\mathbb{A} = (RL, m = R\epsilon L, u = \eta)$ be the associated monad on $\text{Mod-}B$. Recall from Example (6.5) that for any $E \in \text{Mod-}B$ we have

$$RLE = E \otimes_B A \text{ regarded as a right } B\text{-module}$$

$$mE : E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \rightarrow E \otimes_B A$$

$$x \otimes a \otimes a' \mapsto x \otimes aa'$$

$$uE : E_B \rightarrow E \otimes_B A$$

$$x \mapsto x \otimes 1.$$

Let $(E, \mu) \in \mathbb{A}(\text{Mod-}B)$. Then $\mu : RLE = E \otimes_B A \rightarrow E$ is a morphism in $\text{Mod-}B$ satisfying

$$\mu \circ (\mu \otimes_B A) = \mu \circ RL\mu = \mu \circ mE \text{ and } E = \mu \circ uE$$

i.e.

$$(xa)a' = [\mu \circ (\mu \otimes_B A)](x \otimes a \otimes a') = (\mu \circ mE)(x \otimes a \otimes a') = x(aa')$$

where, for any $x \in E$ and $a \in A$ we write $xa = \mu(x \otimes a)$ and

$$x = x1$$

Let

$$t : E \times A \rightarrow E \otimes_B A$$

the canonical projection. Then $(E, \mu \circ t) \in \text{Mod-}A$. Let $f : (E, \mu) \rightarrow (E', \mu')$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{A}(\text{Mod-}B)$. This means that $f : E \rightarrow E'$ is a morphism in $(\text{Mod-}B)$ and $f \circ \mu = \mu' \circ (f \otimes_B A)$ i.e.

$$f(xa) = f(x)a$$

i.e. $f : (E, \mu \circ t) \rightarrow (E', \mu' \circ t)$ is a morphism in $\text{Mod-}A$.

Conversely let $(M, \nu) \in \text{Mod-}A$. Since ν is B -balanced, there is a unique morphism $\mu : M \otimes_B A \rightarrow M$ such that $\nu = \mu \circ t$. Hence the assignment $(E, \mu) \mapsto (E, \mu \circ t)$ yields a category isomorphism

$$H : \mathbb{A}(\text{Mod-}B) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A.$$

Let $(\mathbb{A}F, \mathbb{A}U)$ be the adjunction corresponding to our monad \mathbb{A} . Then it is easy to check that

$$\text{Mod-}A \xrightarrow{H^{-1}} \mathbb{A}(\text{Mod-}B) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}U} \text{Mod-}B$$

is just the restriction of scalars functor $R = \text{Hom}_A(A, -) = f_* : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$ while

$$\text{Mod-}B \xrightarrow{\text{ }^A F} \text{ }^A_{\mathbb{A}}(\text{Mod-}B) \xrightarrow{H} \text{Mod-}A$$

coincides with the extension of scalars functor $L := (-) \otimes_B A = f^* : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$. Therefore the category isomorphism H induces a category isomorphism

$$\text{ }^A F \text{ }^A U (\text{ }^A_{\mathbb{A}}(\text{Mod-}B)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\text{Mod-}A)$$

where \mathbb{C} is the canonical comonad of the adjunction (L, R) i.e. $\mathbb{C} = (LR, \Delta = L\eta R = LuR, \varepsilon)$. For any $M \in \text{Mod-}A$ we have

$$LRM = M \otimes_B A \text{ regarded as a right } A\text{-module}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta M : M \otimes_B A &\rightarrow M \otimes_B A \otimes_B A \\ x \otimes a &\mapsto x \otimes 1 \otimes a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon M : LRM = M \otimes_B A &\rightarrow M \\ x \otimes a &\mapsto xa. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(M, \rho) \in \mathbb{C}(\text{Mod-}A)$ and for every $x \in M$ we write

$$\rho(x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \text{ where } x_i \in M \text{ and } \alpha_i \in A \text{ for every } i.$$

(18) means that

$$(22) \quad \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i a = \rho(xa) \quad \text{for every } x \in M \text{ and } a \in A.$$

(19) means that

$$(23) \quad \sum \rho(x_i) \otimes \alpha_i = \sum x_i \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_i \quad \text{for every } x \in M$$

(20) means that

$$(24) \quad \sum x_i \alpha_i = x \quad \text{for every } x \in M.$$

Now let us consider the cocomparison functor of the adjunction (L, R)

$$K^{co} : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\text{Mod-}A).$$

For every $E \in B\text{-Mod}$ we have

$$K^{co}(E) := (L(E), L\eta(E))$$

where $\rho_{L(E)} = L\eta(E) : E \otimes_B A \rightarrow E \otimes_B A \otimes_B A$ and

$$L\eta(E)(x \otimes a) = x \otimes 1 \otimes a.$$

Let $e : RM \rightarrow RLRM = M \otimes_B A$ be the map defined by $e(x) = x \otimes 1$. Note that e is a map in $\text{Mod-}B$. Let $E = \text{Ker}(\rho - e)$. We have the exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}B$

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{i} RM \xrightarrow{\rho - e} RLRM$$

and

$$M^{cov} = E = \{x \in M \mid \rho(x) = x \otimes 1\}.$$

It is easy to show that the assignment $M \mapsto M^{cov}$ defines a functor

$$()^{cov} : \mathbb{C}(\text{Mod-}A) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B.$$

THEOREM 6.14. [CIP, Teorema page 45] *Using the assumptions and notations of Example 6.13, assume also that A is a faithfully flat left B -module. Then the cocomparison functor $K^{co} : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\text{Mod-}A)$ is an equivalence of categories with inverse functor*

$$()^{cov} : \mathbb{C}(\text{Mod-}A) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B.$$

Proof. Let $(M, \rho) \in {}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$. Since A is a flat left B -module we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^{\text{cov}} \otimes_B A \rightarrow RM \otimes_B A \xrightarrow{(\rho-e) \otimes_B A} RLRM \otimes_B A.$$

Let us show that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq M^{\text{cov}} \otimes_B A$ i.e. that $[(\rho - e) \otimes_B A](\text{Im}(\rho)) = 0$. Let $x \in M$ and let

$$\rho(x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \text{ where } x_i \in M \text{ and } \alpha_i \in A \text{ for every } i.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} [(\rho - e) \otimes_B A](\rho)(x) &= [(\rho - e) \otimes_B A] \left(\sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \right) \\ &= \sum \rho(x_i) \otimes \alpha_i - \sum x_i \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_i \stackrel{(23)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can consider the corestriction $\bar{\rho} : RM \rightarrow RLM^{\text{cov}} = M^{\text{cov}} \otimes_B A$ of ρ to $M^{\text{cov}} \otimes_B A$ so that $\rho = (i \otimes A) \circ \bar{\rho}$. Clearly $\bar{\rho}$ is a morphism in $\text{Mod-}A$. Let us show that it is a morphism in ${}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$ from (M, ρ) to $K^{\text{co}}(M^{\text{cov}})$. For every $x \in M$, let

$$\rho(x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \text{ where } x_i \in M \text{ and } \alpha_i \in A \text{ for every } i.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} [(i \otimes_B A \otimes_B A) \circ (\bar{\rho} \otimes_B A) \circ \rho](x) &= [(\rho \otimes_B A) \circ \rho](x) = \sum \rho(x_i) \otimes \alpha_i \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} \sum x_i \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_i = ((i \otimes A \otimes A) \circ \rho_{L(M^{\text{cov}})} \circ \bar{\rho})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since ${}_B A$ is flat, $i \otimes_B A \otimes_B A$ is a monomorphism so that we deduce that

$$(\bar{\rho} \otimes_B A) \circ \rho = \rho_{L(M^{\text{cov}})} \circ \bar{\rho}$$

and hence $\bar{\rho}$ is a morphism in ${}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$.

Let $h : M^{\text{cov}} \otimes_B A \rightarrow M$ be defined by

$$h(x \otimes a) = xa.$$

For every $x \in M$, let

$$\rho(x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \text{ where } x_i \in M \text{ and } \alpha_i \in A \text{ for every } i.$$

We compute

$$(h \circ \bar{\rho})(x) = \sum x_i \alpha_i \stackrel{(24)}{=} x$$

and for every $x \in M^{\text{cov}}$ and $a \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\rho} \circ h)(x \otimes a) &= \rho(xa) \stackrel{(22)}{=} \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i a \\ &= \left(\sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \right) a \stackrel{x \in M^{\text{cov}}}{=} (x \otimes 1) a = x \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\bar{\rho}$ is an isomorphism in ${}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$ with inverse h .

Let now $E \in \text{Mod-}B$ and let $x \in E$. Then

$$\rho_{L(E)}(x \otimes 1) = x \otimes 1 \otimes 1$$

so that $x \otimes 1 \in (E \otimes_B A)^{\text{cov}}$ and hence we can consider the morphism of right B -modules $v : E \rightarrow (E \otimes_B A)^{\text{cov}}$ defined by $v(x) = x \otimes 1$. We want to prove that v is an isomorphism in $\text{Mod-}B$. Since A is a faithfully flat left B -module, in view of [Bou, Proposition 2 page 47], this is equivalent to show that $v \otimes_B A$ is bijective. For every $x \in E$ and $a \in A$ we have

$$(v \otimes_B A)(x \otimes a) = x \otimes 1 \otimes a = \overline{\rho_{L(E)}}(x \otimes a)$$

so that we deduce that $v \otimes_B A = \overline{\rho_{L(E)}}$. By the foregoing we know that $\overline{\rho_{L(E)}}$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Mod-}A$. \square

NOTATION 6.15. Let $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. We will denote by $\text{Im}R$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{B} consisting of those objects $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that there is an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism $B \cong RA$ in \mathcal{B} .

PROBLEM 1. (Descent problem for modules) Let $M \in A\text{-Mod}$. Is there any $E \in B\text{-Mod}$ such that $M \cong L(E) = E \otimes_B A$ in $A\text{-Mod}$? Such an E will be called a **form** of M over B .

THEOREM 6.16. *Using the assumptions and notations of Example 6.13, let $f : B \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of rings and assume that A is a faithfully flat left B -module. Then*

$$\text{Obj}(\text{Im}(L)) = \text{Obj}(U^{\mathbb{C}}[{}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)]).$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 6.14, $K^{co} : \text{Mod-}B \rightarrow {}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$ is an equivalence of categories so that $\text{Obj}(\text{Im}(K^{co})) = {}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)$. Therefore

$$\text{Obj}(\text{Im}(L)) = \text{Obj}(\text{Im}(U^{\mathbb{C}} \circ K^{co})) = \text{Obj}(U^{\mathbb{C}}[{}^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Mod-}A)])$$

□

Assume now A and B commutative. All modules over a commutative ring S are considered as symmetrical S - S -bimodules.

Let M be an A -module and let $g : A \otimes_B M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A$ be a morphism of A - A -bimodules. Let $g_1 = A \otimes_B g, g_3 = g \otimes_B A$ and define $g_2 : A \otimes_B A \otimes_B M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A \otimes_B A$ and $\bar{g} : M \rightarrow M$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(a \otimes a' \otimes x) &= \sum x_i \otimes a' \otimes \alpha_i \quad \text{where } g(a \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i. \\ \bar{g}(x) &= \sum x_i \alpha_i \quad \text{where } g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (g_3 \circ g_1)(a \otimes a' \otimes x) &= g_3(a \otimes g(a' \otimes x)) = g_3(a \otimes a' g(1 \otimes x)) \\ &= g_3\left(\sum a \otimes a' x_i \otimes \alpha_i\right) = \sum ag(1 \otimes a' x_i) \otimes \alpha_i \\ &\quad \text{where } g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

$$g_2(a \otimes a' \otimes x) = \sum ax_i \otimes a' \otimes \alpha_i$$

$$\text{where } g(a \otimes x) = ag(1 \otimes x) = \sum ax_i \otimes \alpha_i \quad \text{where } g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i$$

Hence $g_2 = g_3 \circ g_1$ means

$$(25) \quad \sum ag(1 \otimes a' x_i) \otimes \alpha_i = \sum ax_i \otimes a' \otimes \alpha_i \quad \text{where } g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i$$

while $\bar{g} = \text{Id}_M$ means

$$(26) \quad \sum x_i \alpha_i = x \quad \text{where } g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i.$$

Let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g : A \otimes_B M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A \\ | g \text{ is a morphism of } A\text{-}A\text{-bimodules } g_2 = g_3 \circ g_1 \text{ and } \bar{g} = \text{Id}_M \end{array} \right\}$$

For every $g \in \Gamma$ consider the map

$$\rho_g : M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A$$

defined by

$$\rho_g(x) = g(1 \otimes x).$$

For every $\rho \in \text{Des}(X, \mu)$, where $\mu : A \otimes_B M \rightarrow M$ denotes the map induced by the multiplication by A on M , consider the map

$$g_\rho : A \otimes_B M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A$$

defined by

$$g_\rho(a \otimes x) = a\rho(x) = \sum ax_i \otimes \alpha_i \quad \text{where } \rho(x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i$$

THEOREM 6.17. *The assignment $g \mapsto \rho_g$ defines a bijection $\Lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Des}(M, \mu)$ whose inverse is defined by the assignment $\rho \mapsto g_\rho$.*

Proof. Let us check that $\rho_g \in \text{Des}(M, \mu)$. Let $x \in M$. We write

$$\rho_g(x) = g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i.$$

For every $a \in A$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_g(xa) &= g(1 \otimes xa) = g((1 \otimes x)a) = g(1 \otimes x)a = \rho_g(x)a \\ &= \left(\sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i \right) a = \left(\sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i a \right) \end{aligned}$$

so that ρ_g satisfies (22).

We compute

$$\sum \rho_g(x_i) \otimes \alpha_i = \sum g(1 \otimes x_i) \otimes \alpha_i \stackrel{(25)}{=} \sum x_i \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_i$$

so that ρ_g fulfils (23). Moreover, in view of (26), for every $x \in X$ we have

$$\sum x_i a_i = x \quad \text{where } \rho_g(x) = g(1 \otimes x) = \sum x_i \otimes a_i.$$

so that ρ_g fulfils (24).

Conversely let $\rho \in \text{Des}(M, \mu)$. Let $x \in M$. We write

$$\rho(x) = \sum x_i \otimes \alpha_i.$$

Then, for every $a \in A$ we have

$$g_\rho(a \otimes x) = a\rho(x) = \sum ax_i \otimes a_i$$

For every $a, a' \in A$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum ag_\rho(1 \otimes a'x_i) \otimes \alpha_i &= \sum ag_\rho(1 \otimes a'x_i) \otimes \alpha_i = \sum ag_\rho(1 \otimes x_i a') \otimes \alpha_i \\ &= \sum a\rho(x_i a') \otimes \alpha_i = \sum a\rho(x_i) a' \otimes \alpha_i \stackrel{(23)}{=} \sum ax_i \otimes a' \otimes \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

so that g_ρ fulfils (25). Moreover in view of (24) we have that

$$\sum x_i \alpha_i = x$$

so that g_ρ fulfils (26).

Let now $g \in \Gamma$ and, for every $a \in A$ and $x \in M$ let us compute

$$g_{\rho_g}(a \otimes x) = a\rho_g(1 \otimes x) = ag(1 \otimes x) = g(a \otimes x).$$

Therefore we deduce that $g_{\rho_g} = g$. Let now $\rho \in \text{Des}(M, \mu)$ and, for every $x \in M$ let us compute

$$\rho_{g_\rho}(x) = g_\rho(1 \otimes x) = \rho(x).$$

Therefore we deduce that $\rho = \rho_{g_\rho}$. □

REFERENCES

- [Appel] H. Appelgate, *Acyclic models and resolvent functors*, Ph.D. thesis (Columbia University, 1965).
- [Be1] J.M. Beck, *Distributive laws*, Lect. Notes Math., **80** (1969), 119–140.
- [Be2] J.M. Beck, *Triples, algebras and cohomology*, Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories **2** (2003), 1–59.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative*. Chapitres **1** a **4**, Springer Verlag Berlin (2006). (Réimpression inchangée de l'édition originale de 1985 Masson, Paris 1985).
- [1] J. Cuntz and D. Quillen, *Algebra extensions and nonsingularity*, Journal of the Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1995), 251–289.
- [CIP] M. Cipolla, *Discesa fedelmente piatta dei moduli*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **25** (1976), 43–46
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck, *Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. I. Généralités. Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. **5**, Exp. No. 190, 299–327, (1995).
- [H] P. Huber, *Homotopy theory in general categories*, Math. Ann. **144** (1961), 361–385.
- [J] P.T. Johnstone, *Adjoint lifting theorems for categories of algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **7** (1975), 294–297.
- [KLV] S. Kasangian, S. Lack, E. Vitale, *Coalgebras, braidings, and distributive laws*, Theory Appl. Categ. **13** (2004), 129–146.
- [KO] M. Knus, M. Ojanguren, *Théorie de la descente et algèbres d'Azumaya*, Lect. Notes Math., **389** (1974)

- [MS] C. Menini, D. Ştefan, *Descent theory and Amitsur cohomology of triples*, J. Algebra **266** (2003), 261–304.
- [Nu] Ph. Nuss, *Noncommutative descent and nonabelian cohomologie*, K-Theory, **12** (1997), 23-74.